

November 15, 2005

«LL»
«LL2»
«ADDRESS»
«CITY», «STATE» «ZIP»

Dear «SALUTATION»:

«CMT» the past six months, representatives of American Transmission Co. have met with local officials and hosted open house meetings for residents of Vienna, Waunakee and Westport who may be impacted by our proposed electric transmission line. To meet increased electricity demand and prevent future system failures, we are proposing:

- A new 8-mile, 138-kilovolt transmission line to connect the North Madison Substation, located in the Town of Vienna, with the Huiskamp Substation, located in the Town of Westport

Your property is in the vicinity of the proposed routes for the North Madison - Waunakee transmission system upgrade. We will host a final open house to provide an opportunity to review the two proposed routes that will be included in our construction application, which we expect to file with the Public Service Commission in January. As part of this application, ATC must present two feasible route alternatives to the PSC. Based on community feedback received at open house events in June and September and in written comments, along with additional environmental and engineering analysis, we will designate one of the two routes as our "preferred" route for the project, as shown on the enclosed map. The map also shows the "alternate" route and other segments that were analyzed but that are not being considered at this time. The open house will be held:

Tuesday, Dec. 6, 2005
2 to 4 p.m. and 6 to 8 p.m.
Sweet Sophie's
1008 Quinn Drive in Waunakee

ATC staff will be available to discuss the need for the project, provide more detailed maps of the preferred and alternate routes, discuss routing concerns and answer questions about the upcoming regulatory review process. No formal presentation is planned, so you are invited to stop in as your schedule permits.

If you are unable to attend our open house, you are invited to submit comments using the enclosed form and postage paid envelope. We include these comments in our construction application to the PSC, which will be evaluated during the regulatory review process next year. After its review, the PSC will decide whether the project is needed and, if so, the route for the transmission line. That decision is expected next fall.

If you have questions, please call me at **877-3624**. We appreciate the input we've received and look forward to your continued participation.

Sincerely,



Sarah Justus
Local Relations

July 15, 2005

(inside address)

Dear (name):

Thank you for attending American Transmission Company's recent open house. More than 170 people attended the open houses to learn more about ATC's plans for a new transmission line in the Waunakee area.

As you will recall from the open house, ATC has identified multiple potential route segments. Based on the feedback we have received, we are working to refine our proposal to two distinct routes that represent an appropriate balance of community concerns, state siting requirements, and electric system needs.

The next step is to hold another set of open houses to discuss the two routes with the community as we seek to finalize and prioritize them. By the end of the year we plan to formally submit an application to the state Public Service Commission for authorization to construct the North Madison – Waunakee project. We will submit all of the written comments we received to the PSC as part of our application.

We appreciate your attendance and welcome additional feedback. Please feel free to contact me with comments or questions between now and the next series of open houses. I can be reached by telephone at 877-3624, via e-mail at sjustus@atcllc.com or by using the comment form and reply envelope enclosed with this letter.

Sincerely,

Sarah Justus

July 15, 2005

(inside address)

Dear (name):

I am sorry you were unable to attend one of American Transmission Company's recent open houses. More than 170 local residents attended the open houses to learn more about a new transmission lines that is needed to improve electric reliability in Madison. I have enclosed information on our route selection process and a project schedule for your information.

At the open houses, we presented information about potential route segments for a new transmission line. We are using community feedback to refine our proposal to two distinct routes that represent an appropriate balance of community concerns, state siting requirements, and electric system needs.

The next step is to hold another set of open houses to discuss the two routes with the community as we seek to finalize and prioritize them. By the end of the year we plan to formally submit an application to the state Public Service Commission for authorization to construct the North Madison – Waunakee project. We will submit all of the written comments we received to the PSC as part of our application.

We welcome additional feedback and hope you are able to attend a future open house. Please feel free to contact me with any questions or comments. I can be reached by telephone at 877-3624, via e-mail at sjustus@atcllc.com or by using the comment form and reply envelope enclosed with this letter.

Sincerely,

Sarah Justus

SEGMENT: 1

Lorraine Grinde, Vienna Town - 7355 Wibu Rd, De Forest

Name 1: LORRAINE GRINDE	
-------------------------	--

Comment Date: 7/25/2005, by: LORRAINE GRINDE

Are you the company that has been working on the line all winter? Our field in the back under the lines was all dug up by the heavy trucks they used in the backfields. Just want to know if you are the same company?

Comment Report – Affected Land Owners

SEGMENT: 3

Elaine & Ollis Davidson, Vienna Town - 209 Hill St, De Forest

Name 1: ELAINE DAVIDSON	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: OLLIS DAVIDSON	

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: ELAINE DAVIDSON

Blue line - route to go. Red line - wet land to be concerned and have hills to content with.

SEGMENT: 8b

John & Marilyn Meffert, Vienna Town - 6471 Schumacher Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: JOHN MEFFERT	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: MARILYN MEFFERT	

Comment Date: 10/3/2005, by: JOHN MEFFERT

I don't think Patton-Cuba Valley-Schumacher Rd's are a good route for North Madison. The two hills on Cuba Valley and Schumacher both at 90 degree turns would be more costly. Development would be more likely on town road areas than on a county hwy I and the route would have less cost to install. Jack Meffert

SEGMENT: 13

William & Dory Blobner, Westport Town - 6271 Schumacher Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: DORY BLOBNER	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 2: WILLIAM BLOBNER	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 11/9/2005, by: WILLIAM BLOBNER

These comments are in regarding the North Madison-Waunakee Project: We live on the one of the proposed routes of the new transmission lines in the Waunakee area. No matter what the American Transmission company newsletter says, the realtors we talked to, have told us that the proposed utility poles on or near our property would adversely affect the property value of our house. We have lived in this old farm house for 30 years and have made plans for an extensive remodeling with a new foundation and addition to our house. These poles would adversely effect this investment. The current pole is in the center of our lot line and enlarging this would certainly disrupt our view and radio and TV reception. We do not have cable or dish and already note an interruption in radio reception when driving past the 138kV poles in this area. With retirement next year we plan to be spending even more time outside on our land and worry about the increased exposure to high voltage and the potential for this being increased in the future. Another major objection of the plan to run the poles down Patton Road then across Cuba Valley Road and down Schumacher is that it destroys permanently the beautiful rural landscape. The poles would avoid the section on Patton Road directly in front of the Waunakee School Forest but they would not be hidden from view of persons in the forest as the poles march up Cuba Valley Road along an area where there are currently no poles or homes. This beautiful view of the pole-less country side from the top of Schumacher Road looking north is rare in Dane County and thus a valuable relic of times gone by. At the corner of Schumacher Rd and Hwy 113 is another historical site, Schumacher Farm Park, a Dane County Park of farm life in the 1920's and 1930's. A main feature of the park is the beautiful prairies, a tall grass and flower prairie. The new from both areas is to the south and west. These large poles would definitely distract from the grand picture the mind conjures up the prairie that were once dominated the Midwest. It is difficult enough to ignore the Waunakee Industrial park buildings across the Highway 19 from the park, let's not add large utility poles to the distracters. We are in favor of running the poles along the Hwy I route proposed, already a busy corridor.

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: DORY BLOBNER

I am very uncomfortable having a large transmission pole run over my house and want to know the likelihood of this happening, where the pole would be, safety of this much electricity overhead effect on phone, TV transmission, effect on re-sale of our property, property value & taxes.

Comment Date: 7/5/2005, by: WILLIAM BLOBNER

The current Schumacher road follows the western edge of the corridor as it has moved through the years. This new high power line would the lines right in our lap. We would be opposed to the line 9 down Schumacher Rd. William A. Blobner

SEGMENT: 14

William & Jody Grulke, Westport Town - 6148 County Road I, Waunakee

Name 1: BILL GRULKE	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 2: JODY GRULKE	

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: BILL GRULKE

My house is very close to the road already and I have about 10 trees that would be affected. I would much rather look at the line across the road from me where the impact to property value would be less at least in front of our houses. The value of my house and property would be greatly affected by the line on the east side of Hwy I. I would loss all of my very nice shade trees in my front yard. *Please put the line on the west side of Hwy I if the blue route is used. Thank you for your consideration. William

Donald Williamson, Westport Town - 6172 County Highway I, Waunakee

Name 1: DONALD WILLIAMSON	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
---------------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: DONALD WILLIAMSON

If CTH I is going to be the route, I would like you consider using the west side of the road to run your power poles thru section 14. My reasoning is besides being across the road from my property is that you are only dealing with 3 landowners instead of 7. There are no trees to contend with on that side and there is only one residence on that side. If you would place it on the East side, there would be 4 homes with in your required easements and close to the power line itself. You could cross CTH I to the West side as you intersect segements 14 & 19. Its a straight shot across. Thank you for your consideration.

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: DONALD WILLIAMSON

The pink route seems to be shorter and uses existing corridors. Which would mean cost would not be as great and would impact fewer homeowners. Less easements would have to be purchased. If blue route is chosen will the poles be placed on the opposite side of road away from areas where there is a cluster of houses.

SEGMENT: 24

Rich Inc, Waunakee Village - 201 Raemisch Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: MICHAEL BOWLES	
Name 2: ORGANIZATION RICH INC	

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: MICHAEL BOWLES

Our LLC owns the building on the corner of Frank Dr and Raemisch Road. We will either add on to our building or build a free standing building directly to the south of 201 Raemisch Road. We could start the fall of 06 or spring of 2007. We will also add another driveway in from Raemisch Road on the South side of our current building. We will follow Waunakee's building code and setbacks. The building will be 40-50,000 sq ft. I will need you posted on our plans. I would be nice not to have power line interfering with our building. Any questions? Please call. M P Bowles

Robinson Brothers Partnershp, Waunakee Village - 220 Raemisch Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: MIKE ROBINSON	
Name 2: ORGANIZATION ROBINSON BROTHERS PARTNERSHP	

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: MIKE ROBINSON

I approve of either route.

Comment Date: 7/27/2005, by: MIKE ROBINSON

I totally support your project.

Mark White, Waunakee Village - 301 Raemisch Rd., Waunakee

Name 1: MARK WHITE	
--------------------	--

Comment Date: 6/16/2005, by: MARK WHITE

We are NOT interested in allowing ANY right of way on our property.

SEGMENT: 26

Stone Corporation, Westport Town - 5517 Barton Rd, Madison

Name 1: ORGANIZATION STONE CORPORATION	
Name 2: PAUL CRAIG	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: PAUL CRAIG

If blue route chosen, stay on east side of Hwy 113. Also, please advise me before final decision is made. Can not attend 9/22 open house.

Gordon & Marilyn Meffert, Westport Town - 5939 State Road 113, Waunakee

Name 1: ANISTAR MEFFERT	
Name 2: GORDON MEFFERT	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 3: MARILYN MEFFERT	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 4: SPENCER MEFFERT	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 5: WYATT MEFFERT	

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: WYATT MEFFERT

Please see attached sheet. (Wyatt submitted the same sheet as Anistar - please see his record - except at the top it stated: Wyatt Meffert, 5939 State Rd. 113, Waunakee (53597) 608-849-7321.)

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: ANISTAR MEFFERT

See attached sheet. Anistar Meffert, 5939 State Rd. 113, Waunakee (53597) 608-849-7321. I would rather have the red route rather than the blue route due to existing easements, users, less negative visual impact. Having said that if the blue route is chosen, I fervently hope that the poles are placed on the east side of State Rd. 113 in my sector (sector 26). Reasons for placing poles on East side of State Rd. 113: 1) Poles are already on East side of State Rd. 113 at my residence (5939 State Rd. 113). 2) My business, joining building, is also at this address and moving the poles onto my front lawn would detract greatly from the appearance and negatively effect property value and business. 3) Poles are already across from 5941 State Rd. 113 and should remain their as there is not enough room with centruy old trees and beautiful 19th century home that would be ruined with poles place on its property. 4) Colonial Crest apts. (5429 Colonial Crest Dr.) would also be negatively effected with potential loss of income and negative property values. 5) My land immediately North of Colonial Crest Apts. and on the West side of 113 is being planned for business development and construction. Putting power line poles here would make construction more difficult and have a very damaging effect on value. 6) This land (West side) is also planned for replacing the access currently being used for Colonial Crest Apts. 7) Putting the poles on the East side at this point (my brother and sister's farm land) would have less ugly visual results and place them in a better position for crossing Hwy 19. 8) Fortunately, my neighbor, Walter Pulvermacher, across from Colonial Crest Apts. spoke with me, Sept. 17, 2005, and with my wife on Sept. 21, 2005 and didn't feel as threatened by the consequences of having them on the East side of 113 in this sector as we would if they were on the West side on this sector. 9) Construction of South bound State Rd. 113 still needs to be done at some point. Possible problems with constuction and service interruption could result if poles are in this area. 10) In summary, it is too unfair for the burden of loss of income and value to be of extensive amount to be borne by one land owner when the placement of poles on the East side in this sector would; 1) look better (2) easier to construct (3) less prone to interference

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: SPENCER MEFFERT

See attached sheet with comments (Spencer submitted the same sheet as Anistar - please see his record - except at the top it stated: Spencer Meffert, 5939 State Rd. 113, Waunakee (53597) 608-849-7321 ninxer66@yahoo.com)

Comment Report – Affected Land Owners

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: MARILYN MEFFERT

See attached sheet with reasons. (Marilyn submitted the same sheet as Anistar - please see his record - except at the top it stated: Marilyn Meffert, 5939 State Rd. 113, Waunakee (53597) 608-849-7321 mmeffert@tds.net)

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: GORDON MEFFERT

Please see attached sheet requesting poles on East side of State Rd. 113. (Gordon submitted the same sheet as Anistar - please see his record - except at the top it stated: North Madison-Waunakee Project. Gordon Meffert, 5939 State Rd. 113, Waunakee (53597) 608-849-7321 gmeffert@tds.net)

SEGMENT: 27

David & Cheryl Farrell, Waunakee Village - 1802 Dover Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: CHERYL FARRELL	
Name 2: DAVID FARRELL	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: CHERYL FARRELL

I support the blue preferred route.

Bradley & Alyce Hauck, Waunakee Village - 1102 Cardigan Bay, Waunakee

Name 1: ALYCE HAUCK	
Name 2: BRADLEY HAUCK	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: BRADLEY HAUCK

Stongly support using STH 113-CTH I route over Westerly Route because: (1) 113/I route more rural or industrial land, less (if any) affect on residential neighborhoods, (2) most economical route from cost standpoint, and (3) less impact on conservancy and wetland areas along six mile creek.

Michael Kuehn, Westport Town - 5682 Mill Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: MICHAEL KUEHN	
-----------------------	--

Comment Date: 10/21/2005, by: MICHAEL KUEHN

Talked to Sarah 10/13/05 How far apart will the new poles be giong down the railroad easement? Is it more of a health concern that the existing lines?

SEGMENT: 31

Jack & Courtney Demoe, Waunakee Village - 1118 Bluebird Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: COURTNEY DEMOE	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: JACK DEMOE	

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: COURTNEY DEMOE

I do not support the lines above ground - would rather have under ground.

Robert Lebron, Waunakee Village - 1124 Bluebird Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: ROBERT LEBRON	
-----------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: ROBERT LEBRON

The blue route on the map provided appears to avoid contact with large numbers of people and homes. Please provide information on studies on the impact of high voltage cable/wires on human health. I recall a report on 60 minutes a number of years ago correlating possible increases in the rate of cancer near high voltage.

William & Heather Pellino, Waunakee Village - 1120 Bluebird Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: HEATHER PELLINO	
Name 2: WILLIAM PELLINO	

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: WILLIAM PELLINO

Please give me information on how close the lines will be to my home. I have two small children who play in the backyard every day. I'd obviously rather they didn't get exposed to any radiation etc. Thank you.

Comment Report – Affected Land Owners

Trace Soper & Jane Clark, Westport Town - 5661 Sawmill Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: JANE CLARK	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: TRACE SOPER	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ; 6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: TRACE SOPER

1. We prefer you bury the transmission lines from Westport substation to North of Hwy 19 along 113 & I. 2. We prefer the 113/Hwy I route. 3. If the railroad track route is chosen we prefer the lines be on the south side of the tracks but there are wetlands, the six mile creek & environmental issues that will be involved & need to be addressed.

Comment Date: 8/25/2005, by: TRACE SOPER

We would prefer the new line go along County "I" which already has existing poles. We live along the railroad tracks and planted hundreds of trees along the tracks and Mill Road to screen out transportation. These trees would be in jeopardy with the additional right of way needed (we measured carefully before planting for old right of way). In addition, a \$2 million grant has been obtained for a new pedestrian walk/bike trail along Woodland Drive (from Hwy M to Mill Road) and along Mill Road to link with Industrial Park.

SEGMENT: 35

Todd Tiefenthaler, Westport Town - 1040 Sherman Ave, Madison

Name 1: TODD TIEFENTHALER	
---------------------------	--

Comment Date: 10/24/2005, by: TODD TIEFENTHALER

We support ATC!! Thanks for the opportunity for input.

SEGMENT: 43a

Jane Harder, Vienna Town - 6702 Patton Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: JANE HARDER	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
---------------------	--

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: JANE HARDER

I prefer the primary route as it states now on County I. Patton Rd is a small narrow country rd with views and I don't want that changed. Thank you.

Comment Date: 6/30/2005, by: JANE HARDER

I do not want poles placed across the street that will block my view across the open field and also of the sunset. The current electrical poles running on the road now do not block my views.

James Koltes, Vienna Town - 7023 County Road I, De Forest

Name 1: GERALD KOLTES	
Name 2: JAMES KOLTES	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: JAMES KOLTES

I think the line should go on Patton Rd. because this is a more direct route and less traveled, more out of the way - on the west side of Patton Rd. You can jump past the wetlands for their protection. What effect will this have on wildlife and plants and bugs and frogs and toads and other species under the line, where most of the Electric Fields and Magnetic Fields are created? How close can the poles be from bldgs. 100' because the poles are 100'-110' tall and could be blown on bldgs, Hwy I, houses. Our Dairy Farm House - 6732 C.T.I.

Bob & Sharon Kriesler, Vienna Town - 6738 Patton Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: BOB KRIESLER	
Name 2: SHARON KRIESLER	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 8/8/2005, by: SHARON KRIESLER

To whom it may concern, We live on 6738 Patton Rd. in the Vienna Township. We are opposed in having the American Transmission Company putting new transmission lines and poles on our road. Patton Rd. has alot of steep hills and valley. It is a very scenic road. Because of eminent domain or easement rights, ATC will have on our land and others, we could lose precious trees that add to our scenic road. Also, we have a School Forest that is in a trust to use for teaching our children environmental issues. It would be a shame to lose some trees or have electrical lines and poles hanging over or around the School Forest. I would think County Hwy I would be more suitable because it's wider and not any steep hills. It will lessen the safety issue and be more accessible for installing the new transmission lines and poles also maintenance and repair. We have no near future potential growth of any subdivision on Patton Rd. We shouldn't be burdened with ATC lines & poles while installing and after on our quite, small natural hills and valley very scenic road. I hope the American Transmission Company recognizes what a profound negative impact it could have on Patton Rd. Respectfully, Bob & Sharon Kriesler.

Comment Report – Affected Land Owners

Eugene & Audrey Larsen, Vienna Town - 7012 Patton Rd, De Forest

Name 1: AUDREY LARSEN	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: EUGENE LARSEN	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: EUGENE LARSEN

We don't wish to have the transmission line go past our property. We have two dwellings which are too close to the road there are many more buildings on Patton Road then there are on County Hwy I. There is wet land on the land that is south of Cty V. It would mean working in water. County Hwy I would be more feasible - how about consider I90/94.

Comment Date: 12/5/2005, by: EUGENE LARSEN

Although we know the line maybe necessary we believe the most feasible route would be County Road I. It would affect fewer obstacles. We do not wish to have the transmission line go past our property on Patton Road. There are too many homes on Patton road and also wet land.

Comment Date: 10/3/2005, by: EUGENE LARSEN

I feel the transmission line from Waunakee to the North Madison substation should not be put on Patton Road I own land on both sides of the road. The route on Cty Highway I will have must less complication on placement. We have two houses on East side of Patton Road. Eugene A Larsen

Comment Date: 10/3/2005, by: AUDREY LARSEN

In studying the transmission line from Waunakee to the North Madison substation I find the route on Cty Highway I would be the best way to place the transmission line. The Patton Road has dwellings on both sides of the road. Also has big parcel of wet lands on both sides of the road. Audrey Larsen

Comment Report – Affected Land Owners

David & Carol Lynch, Vienna Town - PO Box 283, Waunakee

Name 1: CAROL LYNCH	
Name 2: DAVID LYNCH	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ; 6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/30/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 9/15/2005, by: DAVID LYNCH

From: David Lynch [mailto:dlynch@qmiwi.com] Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2005 1:58 PM To: Justus, Sarah Subject: really Really hitting the news stands! Back of the Business Section today, update on the web, front page of the local paper - oh-ya, what was that Newman said? "the route to the west has a creek in the way and the far eastern route has concerns of a subdivision going in", something like that. Really wish I were as influential as say a chairman! better to allow ascetic suicide than risk not selling one of my retirement homes! has anyone told Bob the most sensitive areas of your western route has No Utility Lines at all? and what poles are on that route were just replaced with new poles last year? has anyone told Bob the rejected route to the east needs Utility Lines replaced and added to service the industrial, residential growth that is in the PLANNING stage? including widening the road in that CORRIDOR? "We also reviewed the options in light of state requirements to give greater consideration to existing corridors — utility lines, roads and railroads. We will continue our analysis of the narrowed set of route options through the fall" Are the state requirements really that light?

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: DAVID LYNCH

(page 5) 18. You are threatening me with an increase of the current easement on my property; what is the size of this increase? min. 54' 19. Wisconsin Power and Light, now Alliant Energy, has a 34ft from the North-South Road, 8 pole easement. There are not provisions for future increase nor transfer of the easement. They are entitled another pole but under what authority are you threatening to increase this easement? Purchased from Alliant then renegotiated. What change of title? 20. What does ATC and your partner LCD have to do with Alliant's easement on my property? (not answered) 21. Is it good business planning, job security or another way to justify rate increases to replace all the old poles with larger new poles last year on routes included in your proposal, only to replace them with towers next year? Hard to coordinate distribution need with long term projects. 22. The major highways and railroad tracks that go directly to the increased use areas are wide, straight, flat and maintained 24-7. Why do you propose such a large project to zig-zag through narrow rolling rural roads maintained by a less equipped township rather than the County and State Highway Departments? (highlighted) What route would be most cost effective? 23. Dane County has recognized the need to preserve what we can in rural areas and has put initiatives in place to accomplish just that. As Kathleen Falk stated in one such initiative, "They just don't make it anymore". Has the Dane County Executive's Office been given the opportunity to review ATC's proposed routes map? If not, Why? No, PSC only interest. (Continued under page 6)

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: DAVID LYNCH

(page 3) (Note: pages 3-6 are a question and answer sheet brought by Dave to the open house that he mailed with his comment form) 1. Why so vague and confusing? It took me until last night to recognize a double circuit 345kV line, are you proposing a single or double circuit 138kV line and what is the difference? n/a 2. What do the 5 colors mean? Do previously studied routes remain preliminary or are they scrapped? Previously are scrapped 3. Is yellow more of a threat than red? No-sections of interest indicators 4. What are nodes and why the varied distance? I see no segment identifiers, why? (an arrow pointing from answer 3) 5. Existing lines are not detectable. Why is a green line lacking on Hwy 113 but full length of Schumacher Road and Hickory Lane? The Cuba Valley area on Schumacher has no lines? Transmission lines are shown-distribution not. 6. Why are the areas with no power lines not shown? Specifically Patton and Cuba? Or, are these preliminary routes you show side stepping your police to utilize existing corridors for upgrading to increased demand areas? Again, distribution lines not of major interest Transmission 7. Where are the mains shown? The double steel towers feeding the North Madison station? Are they not the 345kV tower? No, 138kV older design. 8. Are you not able to use the high capacity towers you have already erected for at least a part or most of your project? Try to keep transmission separated. 9. Why are proposed/approved new substations not shown? (Hamre property) How will this station be serviced? From V & Hickory-Waunakee N. Madison? (Continued under page 4)

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: DAVID LYNCH

Stay East of eyesore tower-gravel pits! Hickory Lane-Planning in process, widen road, adding distribution lines required for industrial/residential development-straight road good planning now would maximize pole use and placement on new road with the least impact. Will exit the station east to Wibu Road. CTY I-Planning at county level, county easement, wide, maintained by county 24/7, straight, flat, most direct, runs parallel to 3 gravel pits (extraction

Comment Report – Affected Land Owners

completed), least impact, towers allowed. Exit east to Wibu Road. Patton Road-Planning in process, land use restrictions, distribution lines NEW, (1.5 mi w/no poles), wetlands, woodlots (DNR/school district/ private stewardships) narrow, steep, sometimes maintained, unnecessary loss of aesthetic value, most impact. Exit west, parallel to coule circuit 69kV. Personally-Total loss of buffer from active gravel pit including Birch, Poplar, Oak, Hickory, Walnut, Cherry and Pine Grove as well as berry patch. Audible noise and potential for soreness due to metal in my shoulder and cages in my wife's back would be unforgiveable. We have been a good neighbor to your for years. David (Continued under page 2)

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: DAVID LYNCH

(page 4) 10. Why are areas of increased demand not shown? (Cty V Industrial Park, Hickory Lane, CTY M in Westport and NW-SE Waunakee) -This question not answered and highlighted) 11. What do all of these proposed routes have to do with your need to supply power from North Madison to Huiskamp? You display all of these sub stations to the west of a line serving Huiskamp, is it not your true objective to serve all the stations mapped? Why then does your letter of invitation tell me your objective is to connect N. Madison with Huiskamp and give no mention to connect all of the stations to the west also? Is your true objective transmission to Huiskamp only? (not answered) 12. Why are wildlife corridors not shown? (not answered and highlighted) 13. Will your environmental analysis include all routes shown or are you going to update route options first? If so, when? Will you then notify the affected property owners of the updated routing? 2 routes then another open house 14. Is your environmental analysis going to include wildlife corridors (not shown) and how it will affect the corridor as a whole or just a segment of the corridor (i.e. Horicon Marsh to Yahara River)? not answered and highlighted 15. Will your environmental analysis consider flight patterns of nesting raptors and waterfowl as they migrate through the corridor? if deemed necessary 16. What are you using as a model for your analysis, 75 or 90 ft towers? need 2 routes first 17. You say you want to make minimal impact, so what studies have been made on the impact of living near and working under high voltage? I know your stand on cancer, same as the tobacco companies! My question is how do EMFs affect surgical implants of metal bolts in joints, spinal cages and pacemakers? Some people more sensitive metal bolt in joints/cages not studied pacemakers-different models/be safe, stay away. (Continued under page 5)

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: DAVID LYNCH

(page 6) 24. Your invitation letter states, "Your input helps us identify those routes that offer minimum impacts on the community and the environment". How am I to be assured my questions of you and concerns of your proposed routings will actually be used in your decision process? (not answered) Note: his comments below final question Damn-we don't even have cable, but, we've got enough power in our yard to light up a town! Company/employee stewardship/No

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: DAVID LYNCH

(page 2)Aesthetic-True Objective-Transmission to Huiskamp-Direct-no off ramps-Cost Effective-Structurally Additional Factors-Keep transmission lines separated-Exit Station Opposite existing lines-Patton Road to close to 69kV to V-Use Wibu to I-Planning-What is Vienna's Plan?-Road widening-development areas-Is Hickory Lane being planned?-What Routes are being widened for industrial use?-Use main corridors and land use V-I-Hickory Lane-Keep Towers out of the Valley and behind Midthuns eyesore tower-Environmental-aesthetic-what makes Schmidts Woods more significant? -Why use scare tactics to keep migration away??Deer-bear-turkey-hawks-eagles-What is the audible-inaudible noise levels? Health-Surgically implanted steel screws-cages-pacemaker GOOD NEIGHBOR TO YOU DO NOT ERECT A BECON OF HATRED. (Continued under page 3)

Comment Report – Affected Land Owners

Gary & Dana Richardson, Vienna Town - 6636 Patton Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: DANA RICHARDSON	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: GARY RICHARDSON	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 8/19/2005, by: DANA RICHARDSON

We are writing in regards to the proposed North Madison-Waunakee Transmission System Upgrade. In speaking to neighbors that attended the open houses, it appears that there is opposition from those that live near State Highway 113 to having the lines run through that area. It was suggested that these lines run down Patton Road instead. The Patton Road option is the least viable for a number of reasons. 1. The priorities that you are required to use to make this recommendation indicate that new electrical lines should be co-located with existing utilities. Currently, Patton Road has two stands of electrical line supported by wooden poles. State Highway 113 and County Trunk I have several larger transmission lines with large multi-prong metal support structures. Bringing the new electrical lines along either of these paths will co-bundle these lines with more substantial existing utilities. 2. Patton Road is a narrow, township road with large hills on both sides. In fact, gravel trucks are prohibited under their conditional use permit to use this road to transport gravel because it is dangerous for large vehicles to travel and plowed only by the township in the winter. State Highway 113 and County Trunk I are wider roads, maintained by the state and county respectively, making it safer and easier for you to access and provide routine maintenance to your proposed electric lines, as well as to service them in emergencies. 3. Based on the points outlined in 1 and 2, it is clear that the short and long term costs of running the proposed electrical lines down Patton Road would be far greater than extending the existing utility and highway infrastructure. Given the current economic downturn, and state, country, and local budget deficits, being good stewards of the financial resources of Wisconsin citizens to create this upgrade in service, will be in everyone's best interest. 4. The increase in electrical need is in part created by new housing developments near State Highway 113 in Waunakee, County Trunk I and Hickory Lane, and on the far north side of Madison. These new housing developments were approved by Dane County with the knowledge that additional electrical needs would be created. Those that build or buy these homes also realize that they are increasing the demand for electrical power in those areas. Therefore, those that create the demand should be willing to accept the proposed electrical lines running near their homes to meet this demand. 5. Patton Road runs through the center of the Cuba Valley which is primarily farmland and recreation space. On the north end near County Highway V, there are hundreds of acres owned by the Department of Natural Resources that serves as a home and migration rest stop for birds and other wildlife. On the south end of Patton Road is the Waunakee School Forest. This natural space is utilized by hundreds of students every year to study nature and conservancy practices, civic and environmental groups, and individuals that walk through the wooded trails and prairies. In addition, Cuba Valley is used daily by bicyclist, horseback riders, and joggers that want to "get out in the country." Having large electrical lines and support structures running through the middle of this recreational area will lessen its natural beauty for those that want to spend time outside of the city. Again, we oppose the option to run the proposed electrical lines down Patton Road. We trust that your recommendations to the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin will be based on priorities and facts, and reflect what is in the best interest of the greatest number of people instead of a few homeowners that simply do not want these large structures in their backyard. Please keep us informed of your progress as your move through this recommendation. Sincerely, Gary and Dana Richardson

John Voegeli, Vienna Town - W5816 Highway 60, Arlington

Name 1: JOHN VOEGELI	
----------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: JOHN VOEGELI

I am pleased with any route along lines like is shown on the map and not through fields.

SEGMENT: 45

Gary & Nancy Endres, Vienna Town - 5874 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: GARY ENDRES	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: NANCY ENDRES	

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: GARY ENDRES

I would like to see the power lines to go along Hwy I. We have a lot of cropland along Patton and Cuba Valley Rds that would be greatly affected by power lines that far off the road. These are back roads which wouldn't be a 4 lane Hwy in any foreseeable future.

SEGMENT: 49

Stouffer-Lerch Living Trust, Vienna Town - 6800 County Road I, Waunakee

Name 1: LINDA LERCH	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: LINDA STOUFFER	
Name 3: TRUST STOUFFER-LERCH LIVING TRUST	

Comment Date: 11/9/2005, by: LINDA STOUFFER

We are concerned about where the electric lines/poles will be placed. Please consider placing them on the opposite side of CTH I from our front yard. The opposite side contains farm land currently owned by Koltes. Please notify us of the next meeting where locations & routes will be discussed.

SEGMENT: 58

Leon and Esther Horsted, Vienna Town - 6616 County Road I, Waunakee

Name 1: ESTHER HORSTED	
Name 2: LEON HORSTED	

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: LEON HORSTED

Please consider the following as to location of the proposed electric transmission line if it follows county road I and the current electric line. Our dwelling and large trees are located next to County Road I on the east side, the same side the current electric line which serves us runs. A pole is located near the edge of our property. On our property are several tall 85 year old ever-green trees 5 feet from the edge of our property, several smaller trees 10 feet in, the LP gas tank 12 feet in and our house (dwelling) 40 feet in from the edge of our property line. Immediately across the road there are not trees or dwellings.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Madison City

Chester Baker - 1413 Fremont Ave, Madison

Name 1: CHESTER BAKER	
-----------------------	--

Comment Date: 6/13/2005, by: CHESTER BAKER

Good - maybe Waunakee can ease up and you could not raise our rates but lower them.

Evelyn Baker - 2417 Superior St, Madison

Name 1: EVELYN BAKER	
----------------------	--

Comment Date: 6/13/2005, by: EVELYN BAKER

Fine to do, just so long as you don't raise my rates.

Robert & Carla Coleman - 4318 Westport Rd, Madison

Name 1: CARLA COLEMAN	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: ROBERT COLEMAN	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: CARLA COLEMAN

The map showing our property and proposed route (2010) is inaccurate in that it shows the existing railroad corridor with power lines crossing Westport Rd. and coming onto our property and our neighbors. The person who printed out the map said she believed this was not updated. We know this is not the route currently under discussion but wanted to go on record to say that the existing map is not accurate. Thanks, Carla Coleman

Comment Date: 6/23/2005, by: ROBERT COLEMAN

The map you enclosed is not clear enough as to the impact to my property or adjacent properties along Westport Rd. I intend to come to each presentation and assume you will have more detailed information about the proposed installation and its path.

Jan Patrick & Nancy Doyle - 1414 Arrowood Dr, Madison

Name 1: JAN PATRICK DOYLE	
Name 2: NANCY DOYLE	

Comment Date: 8/1/2005, by: NANCY DOYLE

-Will the line be routed along existing railroad tracks? Will it disrupt traffic? Will up-grades extend south to commercial area? Stray electrical power or electric fields/safety health hazard?

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Robert & Jenifer Gilbert - 1013 Woodward Dr, Madison

Name 1: JENIFER GILBERT	
Name 2: ROBERT GILBERT	

Comment Date: 7/23/2005, by: JENIFER GILBERT

-----Original Message----- From: jenifer gilbert [mailto:gilbertjenifer@hotmail.com] Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2005 10:47 AM To: Justus, Sarah Subject: ATC Madison Waunakee Transmission line project Phase II We reside at 1013 Woodward Drive in Madison. In you letter of 7/18/05 you mention an "upgrade to the existing transmission line that parallels the railroad tracks...". Along Woodward Drive from Sheridan to Forster there are currently high wooden poles with 3 high voltage wires on light metal cross arms with large brown insulators. According to the ATC phase II plan: 1. Will the route change in this section? 2. Will the poles remain the same with different lines and cross members or will a new style pole be used? 3. What will be the material, form and dimensions(including footprint) of the new poles or towers if needed? 4. Can you send us drawings or pictures of the new towers or poles? 5. Is there somewhere the planned new towers or poles are in use on an existing line where we could go to see them? Thank-you, Robert Gilbert Jenifer Gilbert 1013 Woodward Drive Madison, Wisconsin 53704

Gregory Hare - 3120 S 40th St, Milwaukee

Name 1: GREGORY HARE	
----------------------	--

Comment Date: 6/21/2005, by: GREGORY HARE

Will this cost me anything? If so how much and what can I do to prevent this?

Jane Harrell - 1429 Fremont Ave, Madison

Name 1: JANE HARRELL	
----------------------	--

Comment Date: 6/23/2005, by: JANE HARRELL

I do not want a transmission line above my property. I have enough noise from planes issuing from Truax Field and Dane Cty. Airport.

Faisal & Gerda Kaud - 10 Badeau Cir, Madison

Name 1: FAISAL KAUD	6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: GERDA KAUD	

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: GERDA KAUD

Prior to installation of the new transmission line, ATC should coordinate/brief the homeowners about the proposed work including accessing the property and restoring the property to it's existing condition. Consideration should be given to minimize the number of new poles. Another concern is the impact of the new lines on property evaluations. In summary, property owners have to be consulted prior to initiation of work. We congratulate ATC for their interest of improving the transmission lines to meet future expected demand.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Curtis & Joan Kirkhuff - 1025 Woodward Dr, Madison

Name 1: CURTIS KIRKHUFF	
Name 2: JOAN KIRKHUFF	

Comment Date: 6/17/2005, by: CURTIS KIRKHUFF

Please keep me advised as project develops.

Kathy Reinhard - 3421 Marcy Rd, Madison

Name 1: KATHY REINHARD	
------------------------	--

Comment Date: 6/17/2005, by: KATHY REINHARD

I have a tower in the back corner of my yard. I also have a dog who is very protective of his yard. The fence is next to the tower. Will there be work on the tower itself and when would that happen (approx.)? Will I be notified if and when it happens? How long would it take?

Samuel Rockweiler & Judy Switzky - 806 Woodward Dr, Madison

Name 1: JUDY SWITZKY	
Name 2: SAMUEL ROCKWEILER	

Comment Date: 6/23/2005, by: SAMUEL ROCKWEILER

What alternatives are there to what seems to be doubling the voltage of the subject transmission line? Can you send me a copy of the environmental and engineering analysis that you perform for this proposal, prior to the open house events this summer? Will the environmental analysis address the potential for adverse human health and property value impacts and describe how the visual appearance of this transmission line would change?

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Karl Schulte, General Manager - 2458 Pennsylvania Ave, Madison

Name 1: KARL SCHULTE	
Name 2: ORGANIZATION UNION CAB WORLD HEADQUARTERS LLC	

Comment Date: 8/29/2005, by: KARL SCHULTE

-----Original Message----- From: Justus, Sarah Sent: Friday, July 29, 2005 11:22 AM To: 'Karl Schulte' Subject: RE: ATC's 138000 volt transmission line. Thanks for your questions. As you noted, your building is in the second phase of the project. We do not have the detailed engineering and planning done at this point as we are focused on the first phase of the project. We will be able to give you more detailed specifications when we get closer to the second phase. I expect that we will begin more outreach on the second phase in mid-2006. However, I did run your question about impact on radio frequency by one of our engineers. He indicated that there is currently a 69 kV transmission line along the railroad right of way. That line originally was originally constructed with two circuits carrying 66 kV each and portions of the line were rebuilt as recently as 2003. It appears that your property is also very close to MG&E's Ruskin Substation. Given this location and the history of the line, if you have not been experiencing problems now it is unlikely that you would experience any in the future. When we get further into the phase 2 process we will be holding open houses and provide opportunities for you to meet with our engineering staff to more specifically discuss the configuration of the lines and any concerns you might have. I hope this is helpful, if you have further questions please feel free to call me at 877-3624. Sarah -----Original Message----- From: Karl Schulte [mailto:operations@unioncab.com] Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 10:54 AM To: Justus, Sarah Subject: ATC's 138000 volt transmission line. Sarah Justus: I am sorry I missed your open house. I have no concerns in Phase One of your improvement project, however I am concerned about Phase Two. I manage a taxicab business immediately adjacent to the railroad corridor. (We are located at 2458 and 2470 Pennsylvania Avenue in Madison, Wisconsin.) As part of our business we operate a radio tower at 2458 Pennsylvania. I have limited knowledge of how radio signals and very high voltage power lines interact, but I know that if the radio wave emissions associated with the improved power lines create interference of any sort with our dispatch transmissions, then the business I manage will suffer some currently unknown amount of harm from your project. Our radio station is licensed by the FCC -- file number 0001850776. Our call sign is WQL815 and our frequencies include: 152.42000 MHZ; 452.05000 MHZ; 157.68000 MHZ; 457.05000 MHZ. Engineers studying our situation and possible radio signal interference would appreciate any maps or specifications you might be able to provide which detail our specific neighborhood. Again, we are located at 2458 and 2470 Pennsylvania Avenue. We are just south of Commercial Avenue. The railroad corridor runs through the backside of our lots. Your response is welcome, whether by email, FAX, or ground mail. Union Cab's FAX number is: 608-242-2009. Karl Schulte, General Manager Union Cab of Madison Cooperative, Inc. (worker owned & operated)

Fred Skram - 1305 Loftsgordon Ave, Madison

Name 1: FRED SKRAM	
--------------------	--

Comment Date: 6/16/2005, by: FRED SKRAM

How will it affect electronics, such as TV, radio, computers etc.?

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Peter & Anna Stebbins - 3618 Alpine Rd, Madison

Name 1: ANNA STEBBINS	
Name 2: PETER STEBBINS	

Comment Date: 6/16/2005, by: PETER STEBBINS

After reviewing your map covering the proposed transmission line to connect the North Madison Substation with the existing Huiskamp Substation it appears, although close, no construction will be required on my property located at the above address. Please confirm. Thank you

Jim Welsh - 303 S Paterson Suite 6, Madison

Name 1: JIM WELSH	6/20/2005 7:00:00 AM - Sheraton Madison Hotel; 6/30/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
-------------------	---

Comment Date: 7/15/2005, by: JIM WELSH

Re: North Madison-Waunakee New 138 kV line. Dear Sarah: The Natural Heritage Land Trust owns a 40 acre nature preserve on the northeast side of the intersection of Hwy. 113 and East Street north of Waunakee. This preserve is named the Wilke Prairie Preserve. (On plat books the property is listed as owned by Dane County Natural Heritage Foundation which is our former name.) The Natural Heritage Land Trust is a non-profit organization dedicated to the conservation of natural areas, agricultural lands, and open spaces in Dane County and the surrounding areas. I attended the open house at Sweet Sophie's on June 30th and spoke with some representatives of ATC about the nature preserve and the proposed 138 kV line. As you consider the location of new transmission line, I would like you to be aware of the natural and aesthetic values of the preserve and land management activities that go on there. The Wilke Prairie Preserve is a prairie and wetland restoration in which native vegetation is managed to approximate the natural composition of an undisturbed prairie and wetland. The preserve provides visitors with natural experiences of a native plant community, creates habitat for waterfowl and other wildlife, and protects a portion of an un-named tributary of Six Mile Creek. The preserve is an education resource for the community and is available for appropriate educational and research activities by students of all levels. The preserve was established through a gift of land for the restoration of prairie vegetation on the property and to provide for open space, waterfowl habitat, and other public benefits. A 138 kV line along the north side of Easy Street or the east side of Hwy 113 would diminish the aesthetic and open space values of the preserve. This would be especially true for the public that enjoys the preserve from the road side. Because the preserve provides bird habitat, and is used by large birds such as sandhill cranes, I am also concerned about the potential for collisions between large birds and the transmission lines. The enclosed fact sheet ("A Fine Line for Birds", Partners in Flight) indicates collisions between birds and overhead power line can be a problem, and that large birds are most vulnerable. This problem would be grounds for not locating the transmission line along the Wilke Preserve. If the line has to be located there, the fact sheet suggests some ways to reduce the number of collisions. The transmission line would possibly pose conflicts for using prescribed fire as a tool to manage the vegetation of the preserve. At the open house I learned that prescribed fire can be used adjacent to or under some of your lines, and that you request advance notification of burns. We would typically burn up to the mowed margins of prairie and wetland vegetation along the road sides. We would not want to have to eliminate burning along those areas because they would become habitat for invasive woody plants such as buckthorn and box elder. Thanks for this opportunity to comment on the proposed line. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Vienna Town

Jeffrey & Julie Burke - 5963 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: JEFFREY BURKE	
Name 2: JULIE BURKE	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 10/14/2005, by: JEFFREY BURKE

Please note that your recent letter stating that you are "sorry" we did not attend last open house is not well received. DO NOT assume that the lack of our physical presence in any way diminishes our or comments. Assume only that the schedule fits your timeline, not ours! We are following this very carefully through new, Town of Vienna, ?, and your own website. We have provided with comments each time allowed. WE DO NOT want lines anywhere near our home/property- neither of the tow are desired by us-but if one is to be forced upon the citizens of Vienna, and Waunakee the route along 113/I would seem least objectible. The anquish and frustration such ? law abiding, tax-paying citizens is very wrong in our opinion. We do plan to attend a future open house if it meets our schedul, or would allow you to come to our house and explain in person anything you feel we need to know, or are "sorry" we missed.

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: JEFFREY BURKE

We STRONGLY favor the NON Patton Road preliminary route. It seems the more direct route. Less invasive of Waunakee. PLEASE DO NOT consider pink route! BLUE ROUTE most appropriate. (Along this route, environmentally and financially sound.)

Comment Date: 6/29/2005, by: JEFFREY BURKE

We are not interested in having any transmission lines on or near our 27 acre property. Your letter was quite unsettling and STRESSFUL as we took great care in purchasing our property with select view and ABSOLUTELY no above ground utilities of any nature. After reviewing the materials your provided with our legal counsel, it is clear that it would not be economically or environmentally responsible to route these lines anywhere near our property! Sincerely, Jeffrey E and Julie A Burke

Gerald & Diane Dohm - 6110 Ripp Ln, Dane

Name 1: DIANE DOHM	
Name 2: GERALD DOHM	

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: GERALD DOHM

It appears the remaining two coices are the most direct thus the most efficient. Either choice would be agreeable.

Gene & Karen Evans - 4799 Daley Rd, De Forest

Name 1: GENE EVANS	
Name 2: Gene Evans	
Name 3: KAREN EVANS	

Comment Date: 6/17/2005, by: GENE EVANS

Gene is developing 160 acres for industrial used between Hickory Road and the Interstate. Daley Road is the cross street in the middle of development. His family owns Nevco plastics and is looking for similar high-end industrial tenants. Wondering about electrical benefit to potential tenants.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Gregory & Diane Evert - 5470 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: DIANE EVERT	
Name 2: GREGORY EVERT	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: GREGORY EVERT

We think the blue route is the least objectionable. Follow county highway.

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: GREGORY EVERT

We see the purple preliminary route as the least objective. It will effect the least amount of residents, farm land & follows an existing route. The Hwy I route makes the most sense.

Comment Date: 7/27/2005, by: GREGORY EVERT

Routes should travel where there is already existing routes along State/County Rds- or interstate. Avoid traversing "new" routes use least eyesore poles when possible especially near residences.

Comment Date: 7/6/2005, by: DIANE EVERT

-----Original Message----- From: Justus, Sarah [mailto:sjustus@atcllc.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2005 1:09 PM To: 'Diane Evert' Subject: RE: MAP INFORMATION - Town of Vienna I'm sorry you were unable to attend last week's open house, but they are not your only opportunity to have input into the project. We will be having additional meetings in a couple of months, I hope that you will be able to attend at that time. The purpose of last week's open house was to get feedback regarding the proposed routes that were shown on the map sent with your invitation. All of those proposed routes are still options. We will be using feedback from those open houses to narrow down the options to two separate routes. We will then hold another round of open houses, likely in late August or early September, to discuss those routes further with the community. As with the last invitation, you will receive information on those routes and another invitation in the mail. I'm sorry the map is difficult to read, I know the many options can be confusing. In the Town of Vienna, the potential routes are all along existing roadways or transmission lines. They include segments along Hickory Lane, Cnty Hwy I, portions of Patton Road, Schumacher Road, State Hwy 113, Madigan Road, Norway Grove School Road, Cuba Valley Road and Easy Street. The line will be coming from the existing substation just north of County V, exiting the substation along Patton Road or WIBU Road. We will follow up with you when we have the routes narrowed to two separate alternatives, as we work toward an application to the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, which we plan to file by the end of this year. The Public Service Commission will evaluate our application and choose the final route. In the mean time, I encourage you to submit any comments you have regarding your preferences for various segments either using the comment form included in your invitation packet or via e-mail. Regarding the type of poles that would be used, they would be above ground, steel poles. The height will depend on the final engineering, which will come later, but typically they are 75-95 feet in height. If you have further questions, feel free to call me at 877-3624. Thank you, Sarah Justus -----Original Message----- From: Diane Evert [mailto:DEvert@erdman.com] Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 12:02 PM To: Justus, Sarah Subject: MAP INFORMATION - Town of Vienna We were unable to get to the meeting regarding the proposed routes, could you send by mail? The maps that were sent previously were hard to read (they were very small) I printed one off the internet but it doesn't tell me the proposed routes through the town of Vienna. Our preference of course would be to use already existing corridors such as State highways, railroad, interstate, etc. What type of poles would be used? Greg Evert 5470 Cuba Valley Road Waunakee, WI 53597

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

John Fassbender & Amy Skolaski - 5974 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: AMY SKOLASKI	
Name 2: JOHN FASSBENDER	

Comment Date: 9/20/2005, by: JOHN FASSBENDER

Both of our families hope you use the Blue route as it appears to be in less populated areas.

Comment Date: 7/1/2005, by: AMY SKOLASKI

I am hoping that you will place the corridor where there are the fewest homes and people. Another option would be to put the lines on an existing corridor. We are 1 of 7 houses on top of a hill on Cuba Valley Road and we hope you do not put it up here to destruct our view.

John Sr. & Ellen Fassbender - 5978 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: ELLIE FASSBENDER	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: JOHN FASSBENDER SR	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 9/20/2005, by: JOHN FASSBENDER SR

Both of our families hope you use the Blue route as it appears to be in less populated areas.

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: JOHN FASSBENDER SR

1. I would hope that the corridor would be constructed where there are the fewest houses. 2. We live as one of 7 houses on top of a hill on Cuba Valley Rd. I hope the corridor would NOT be here.

Comment Date: 6/13/2005, by: ELLIE FASSBENDER

Per Phone Conversation: live along segment 10 on Cuba Valley Road. Seven houses in the area, six on north side of Cuba Valley, one large property on South Side.

John & Nettie Hackett - 6681 Hickory Ln, De Forest

Name 1: JOHN HACKETT	
Name 2: NETTIE HACKETT	

Comment Date: 7/25/2005, by: JOHN HACKETT

We two are 81 years old. How ill this reflect on us in present or future. You must have some idea on what we can expect in the near future. Please advise!

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Richard & Sandra Hartwig - 6477 Hickory Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: RICHARD HARTWIG	
Name 2: SANDRA HARTWIG	

Comment Date: 10/25/2005, by: SANDRA HARTWIG

With the route that you are looking at on Hickory Rd. will the towers be on the East or West side of the road?

Richard & Mary Beth Hellenbrand - 5827 Tierney Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: MARY BETH HELLENBRAND	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: RICHARD HELLENBRAND	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: RICHARD HELLENBRAND

We would prefer it to stay out of the village of Waunakee as we feel it would be more cost and environmentally effective to do up Hwy 113 & Hwy I. We did attend the 1st meeting.

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: RICHARD HELLENBRAND

1st We feel coming down Patten Road & extending straight to Easy St would be most cost effective & involve less homes. 2nd Coming down ICTH from VCTH to Easy St again would be more cost effective to all. 3rd would prefer you stayed away from #50 (113STH & Maier Rd)

Dan & Barbara Hoeft - 6462 Nature Valley Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: BARBARA HOEFT	
Name 2: DANIEL HOEFT	

Comment Date: 7/25/2005, by: DANIEL HOEFT

- 1.) Please choose the LEAST populated route, as weather & accidents would cause unnecessary danger & worry.
 - 2.) Other then cost, why not BURY the line. We do live in a Northern climate. Thank you for your time.
-

Bradley Horning - 6421 Hickory Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: BRADLEY HORNING	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
-------------------------	---

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: BRADLEY HORNING

I really feel strongly about utilizing UNDERGROUND power lines in some areas. Ex: If the line were to be installed along route #57 (Hickory Lane) and on the west side of Hickory Lane, appearance wise this would be catastrophic. Many mature trees exist near the south end of Hickory Lane. A new development has been built on the east side of the street- if route #57 had to be the one then UNDERGROUND on the east side of Hickory Lane would be my push. There does not seem to be hard evidence that these lines cause health problems but if there in ANY doubt (and there seems to be, depending on who you talk to) then this line should not follow route #57. My daughter has been battling leukemia for the past four years and poor health problems are something my family does not need. Thank you for your consideration. Brad H.

Mr. & Ms. Mike Kennedy - 5466 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Name 1: LINDA CAMPBELL	
Name 2: MIKE KENNEDY	

Comment Date: 8/1/2005, by: MIKE KENNEDY

Per response on 'sorry we missed you' letter originally sent to: Gregory & Linda Campbell (previous owners) New owners are: Mr. & Ms. Mike Kennedy.

Albert Koch - 801 Raymond Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: ALBERT KOCH	
---------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: ALBERT KOCH

I have no complaint on your proposed new lines. I have 240 rods of fence line with a power line on it and I never had a problem with it. I think the way our country is growing you have to have progress. Thank you.

Allen & Karen Koch - 1701 Cumberland Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: ALLEN KOCH	
Name 2: KAREN KOCH	

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: ALLEN KOCH

Looking at the proposed routes, we prefer the Cty Hwy I route. Thanks

Richard & Helen Leggett - 5082 Vienna Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: HELEN LEGGETT	
Name 2: RICHARD LEGGETT	

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: HELEN LEGGETT

County I Route - I live within one mile of it. 1. Due to health risks associated with living near these EMF created by high voltage transmission lines, why you choose to put them above ground is beyond my comprehension. I know you say underground line are more expensive etc, etc, but any of your reasons I have read about have not been as important as the health of human beings that you affect with these lines. Shame on you all. Human beings health vs lost, maint roads, oil seepage, etc, etc I did not go to your meeting on Sept 22nd, because of the format your have chose to speak to us, why not a formal talk to address many of us at one time on the concerns we have? No doubt I know why. Because these issues on health, home prices, environmental issues have been going on for years and years and you continue to build these lines no matter what is said by those you affect. I feel sad that my home that I have lived in for 20 years may be the cause of future health issues for my husband and I and that my son who wants to buy my home will not, because, I will not allow it, since my grandchildren & my son will have to assume the same risk with their health. Feel free to call me anytime at home. Helen Leggett.

Comment Date: 6/10/2005, by: HELEN LEGGETT

Per Phone Conversation: 2 developments with 100+ homes and lots of trees on either side of Hickory Lane at Easy Street. On segment 57, south side, one property owner with many mature trees and wetland.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

L Scott & Daun Maier - 7055 Schumacher Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: DAUN MAIER	6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: L SCOTT MAIER	6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: L SCOTT MAIER

We own and operates a 500 cow dairy along Schumacher Rd. with plans for future expansion and generations. Stray Voltage is an issue that can be devastating to our operating dairy farm. Transmission lines are main concern with stray voltage. The housing for our cows are tight along Schumacher Rd. Please take this into consideration when planning the route for these lines. Thanks, L. Scott Maier

Linus & Ruth Ann Maier - 7085 Schumacher Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: LINUS MAIER	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: RUTH ANN MAIER	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 7/1/2005, by: LINUS MAIER

We at Maier Farms LLC hope the transmission lines will not come up Schumacher Rd. We have large cattle shed near the road. (yes we need lines) Linus

Steven Mell - 5450 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: STEVEN MELL	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
---------------------	---

Comment Date: 10/21/2005, by: STEVEN MELL

Our family's dairy farm is located on the corner of Cuba Valley Rd. & Cty Tk I. We are very concerned about stray voltage becoming a problem on our herd. If the preferred route (Cty Tk I) is approved this w/ run right by our farm. also if the power lines are placed on the same poles as the existing lines this can create induction which according to stray voltage investigators have created problems for dairy farmers in the past. If the preferred route is chosen we request that the power company & an independent company measure for stray voltage at our farm both BEFORE and AFTER the power lines are in place. Please put it as far away from farm as possible (ie East side of I).

Mary Olsen & Mark Hellenbrand - 5920 Hauser Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: MARK HELLENBRAND	
Name 2: MARY OLSEN	

Comment Date: 6/29/2005, by: MARY OLSEN

Will this new line help us from losing power 3-4 times a week- My wife says it is more like everyday. P.S. and loss of power messes up our ice maker in our refrigerator. We've had it repaired 2 times in 4 months.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Donald & Betty Pomeranke - 7155 County Road V, Dane

Name 1: BETTY POMERANKE	
Name 2: DONALD POMERANKE	

Comment Date: 6/23/2005, by: DONALD POMERANKE

We have a small farm the roads have taken from us twice. We already have power lines with high voltage right on North side of V and a large line within 160 rods. We don't want to lose anymore land and high lines to deal with. What side of road East or West new 113? If this line is headed to Westport Blue Bill Park Dr. why would it come West and then South? Wouldn't it be better using Patton as this would be a straight line to Huiskamp.

Eileen Ripp - 6626 Ripp Dr, Dane

Name 1: EILEEN RIPP	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
---------------------	---

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: EILEEN RIPP

I now live and farm along the railroad line and the power lines cross my farm. No problems. I know it is necessary to add the additional powerlines to cover all the new building etc., and no one wants the lines in their back yard. What we don't need is black outs either.

Gloria Roeber - 7038 Schumacher Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: GLORIA ROEBER	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
-----------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: GLORIA ROEBER

Would prefer the County I & 113 route so as to preserve the wildlife habitat and wetlands along highway "V" between Schumacher Rd., Patton Rd. and beyond (East). Ducks, sandhill cranes, Canadian geese, fox, woodchucks, possums, racoon, many other animals and birds have been observed there. No wetlands on "I".

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: GLORIA ROEBER

Owned my land on Schumacher Rd. since 1963. The land values would go way down if they picked my side of the road and I own a lot of land on Schumacher. Was told they would not go down the other side of Schumacher because of the farm buildings on other side. Would take so much of my land!

Harold & Doloros Rupp - 4984 Cuba Valley Rd, De Forest

Name 1: DOLOROS RUPP	
Name 2: HAROLD RUPP	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: HAROLD RUPP

I have no problem with new lines as long as they don't go across farm fields as the crow flies. Keeping them along road sides and road right of ways.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

John Fassbender & Amy Skolaski - 5974 Cuba Valley Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: AMY SKOLASKI	
Name 2: JOHN FASSBENDER	

Comment Date: 9/20/2005, by: JOHN FASSBENDER

Both of our families hope you use the Blue route as it appears to be in less populated areas.

Comment Date: 7/1/2005, by: AMY SKOLASKI

I am hoping that you will place the corridor where there are the fewest homes and people. Another option would be to put the lines on an existing corridor. We are 1 of 7 houses on top of a hill on Cuba Valley Road and we hope you do not put it up here to destruct our view.

Darrell & Kathleen Vogt - 6503 Aspen Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: DARRELL VOGT	
Name 2: KATHLEEN VOGT	

Comment Date: 10/24/2005, by: KATHLEEN VOGT

We feel Hwy I would be the best route of the two options.

Brian Watzke & Gina Interrante - 5870 Easy St, Waunakee

Name 1: BRIAN WATZKE	6/30/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: GINA INTERRANTE	

Comment Date: 6/30/2005, by: BRIAN WATZKE

I would not like the new line to run East from the Northside Substation along Easy Street. There is a new housing development being built South of Easy Street and the line would be in their backyards. I also suspect that our TV reception would be affected since the line would be directly between our home and the TV towers in West Madison. I am also concerned about my mother & father in-laws health when they are visiting in case they require a pacemaker.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Richard Wolff - 6451 Hickory Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: RICHARD WOLFF	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/30/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
-----------------------	--

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: RICHARD WOLFF

The "Preferred Route" and the "Alternate Route" are the two most logical and most direct routes of any of the several originally proposed. Therefore I assume they are also the most cost effective. I trust measure will be taken to be extremely careful in sensitive area such as environmental and residential along these routes. GOOD CHOICES!!

Comment Date: 6/30/2005, by: RICHARD WOLFF

I want to discourage Rt. 57. Our development is 35 yrs old and has had underground electricity all 35 yrs (60 homes). Now across Hickory Ln the Nature Valley Development with 74 homes also has underground power. This just doesn't seem practical to put overhead wires right through the middle of 130+ homes!! Please put me on the mailing list for future meetings, hearing etc.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Waunakee Village

Robert Adler - PO Box 67, Waunakee

Name 1: ORGANIZATION WAUNAKEE ALLOY CASTING CORP	
Name 2: ROBERT ADLER	

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: ROBERT ADLER

Inasmuch as it costs a fixed amount of dollars per mile, go the shortest distance! Go south on Patton Road all the way to Easy Street; then east to County I; then south.

Shawn & Kimberly Arneson - 1613 Brookside Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: KIMBERLY ARNESON	
Name 2: SHAWN ARNESON	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: SHAWN ARNESON

I do not believe these transmission lines are a good idea to put near human occupations and homesteads. A good friend of mine had childhood cancer and lost his leg due to the cancer. This friend of mine lived near a transmission line. Recently his neighbor was diagnosed with cancer. Please place these lines near the interstate where there are less homes.

William & Amy Beier - 300 Worthington Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: AMY BEIER	
Name 2: WILLIAM BEIER	

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: WILLIAM BEIER

Based upon my review of the proposed routes, it appears that the blue route would be the least obtrusive. The route through the Waunakee industrial park affects two or three residential areas that would seem to make it less desirable, whereas the blue route would just affect residences along the Highway 113 corridor.

Timothy & Sara Blieferticht - 1403 Amana Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: SARA BLIEFERNICHT	
Name 2: TIMOTHY BLIEFERNICHT	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/8/2005, by: TIMOTHY BLIEFERNICHT

Prefer Cty. Hwy F to 113 route. Further away from future development (NE corner Waunakee) and is farther away from heart of community.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Bob & Sheila Bock - 1714 Brookside Lane, Waunakee

Name 1: BOB BOCK	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: SHEILA BOCK	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/8/2005, by: BOB BOCK

Strongly request consideration of a route from County "I" directly south to West River Road. This route would move the line away from a densely populated area.

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: BOB BOCK

Run 138kV service underground from Arboretum (Bong) to W. River Road and Huiskamp.

Randall & Rachel Bolger - 1225 Dartmouth Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: RACHEL BOLGER	
Name 2: RANDALL BOLGER	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: RANDALL BOLGER

I think both options listed are poor choices. They run through or adjacent to green space and arboretum and neighborhoods. If there are no other choices they should be buried. Running high power lines right by a brand new elementary school also makes no sense.

Judith Borke - 5089 Hwy 19, Waunakee

Name 1: JUDITH BORKE	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
----------------------	--

Comment Date: 12/8/2005, by: JUDITH BORKE

As chairperson of Westport's Historic Preservation Commission and life-long resident, I am concerned about the huge negative impact the overhead transmission lines would make. According to a town-wide survey, an almost unanimous desire is to keep Westport's historic, rural character. I would like to see hard facts relative to burying the lines underground - cost, environmental, etc. Also, the Wisconsin Historical Society has to have a look at the proposal (based on state regulations that are required). Buried lines may cost more upfront, but in the long run is the best alternatives. It would eliminate pole problems, and with today's technology, it should be possible to detect underground problems and monitor them. ATC wants the proposed lines because it's the cheapest and easiest route, thus their arguments are slanted. I would like to see unbiased cost and environmental comparisons. The aesthetics of an area designated for ag-preservation and thru which thousands of people drive daily is important. Serious forethought needs to go into the visual impact it will have and how it will open the path for more. Put it all underground in tunnels which can accommodate future installations.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

David & Vicky Brucker - 1105 Bluebird Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: DAVID BRUCKER	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 2: VICKY BRUCKER	

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: DAVID BRUCKER

I prefer the blue route as it minimizes the impacts on most residents and on the environment. The six mile area has a scenic quality that would be impaired with these lines. Thanks for the opportunity to comment and provide input.

George Rounds and Joann Buchanan-Rounds - 104 W 2nd St, Waunakee

Name 1: GEORGE ROUNDS	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: JOANN BUCHANAN-ROUNDS	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: JOANN BUCHANAN-ROUNDS

We know it's needed and am impressed with the work that's gone into explaining what it's about. We feel that this is needed and are in favor of this project.

Alvin & Collette Bussan - 915 Lochmoore Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: ALVIN BUSSAN	
Name 2: COLLETTE BUSSAN	

Comment Date: 7/25/2005, by: ALVIN BUSSAN

We would like to know if the upgrade will require the use of the utility right of way through our backyard. we have established landscaping in parts of our yard and would try to minimize the disturbances.

Joe Capaul - 5949 River Road, Waunakee

Name 1: JOE CAPAUL	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
--------------------	--

Comment Date: 11/18/2005, by: JOE CAPAUL

The proposed route will probably adversely affect our farming operation on Hwy 113. We also have three wildlife ponds that will be close to the lines. These ponds are used by migratory birds and turkeys. I think the lines should follow the interstate where they will be more or less cut off the way.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Steven & Jennifeer Carl - 1004 Franconia Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: JENNIFEER CARL	
Name 2: STEVEN CARL	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: STEVEN CARL

Why not avoid the school and come in off River Road? Is it all about the \$? I'm sure you are looking for the shortest distance between your stations, but come on. A little extra cost to avoid the most populated area would do your conscience good. Stop holding meetings and sending letters and do the right thing.

Patrick & Kimberly Christian - 1201 Hanover Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: KIMBERLY CHRISTIAN	
Name 2: PATRICK CHRISTIAN	6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 9/20/2005, by: PATRICK CHRISTIAN

Strongly recommend the "blue route" along Hwy 113 & Cty I over other path option.

Comment Date: 7/8/2005, by: PATRICK CHRISTIAN

I support this project along a Hwy 113, Hwy 19 & Cty Rd I path between the North Madison & Huiskamp stations (segments 36, 35, 61, 32, 26, 14, 9, 58, 49, 47, 56, 1) as it is the most direct path & utilizes highway corridors. I cannot support the project if recommended transmission line utilizes the following segments: 31, 27, 30, 25, 20, 19, 24, 23, 22, 55, 17, 18, 16, 15, 29, 37, 12, 10, 50, 42, 41, 40, 29, 41, or 38. The segments require high-voltage transmission lines to traverse through the Village of Waunakee which is unacceptable & unnecessary.

Nancy & Robert Currell - 1313 Lawton Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: NANCY CURRELL	
Name 2: ROBERT CURRELL	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: ROBERT CURRELL

Of the proposed/alternate routes, that indicated as "preferred" by ATC would appear to be most appropriate and least offensive to our home site. We understand and are supportive of the need for this upgrade to service future growth in the northeast Madison corridor. Thank you for making such a thorough effort to communicate with those affected.

John & Linda Currier - 415 Skyview Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: JOHN CURRIER	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 2: LINDA CURRIER	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: JOHN CURRIER

WE BOTH PREFER BLUE ROUTE.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Bradley & Leslie Damon - 1314 Lawton Court, Waunakee

Name 1: BRADLEY DAMON	
Name 2: LESLIE DAMON	

Comment Date: 10/24/2005, by: BRADLEY DAMON

Why don't you take some of your blood money and bury the power lines around highly populated routes? This will help keep the country side appeal and help safety issues down, or will your corp blood suckers pick profit over "Health and True Local Relation"? P.S. Why does the power lines that were going through Gov Jimmy Doyle rich neighborhood get diverted directly around his neighborhood?

Dorothy Dishno - 500 Hillcrest Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: DOROTHY DISHNO	
------------------------	--

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: DOROTHY DISHNO

I prefer the blue route. It does not go through any major metropolitan area of homes.

Julie Doyle - 1100 Wimbledon Way, Waunakee

Name 1: JULIE DOYLE	
---------------------	--

Comment Date: 11/9/2005, by: JULIE DOYLE

Do any of your route plans go through my property?

Comment Date: 8/8/2005, by: JULIE DOYLE

I've been receiving mail regarding the North Madison-Waunakee project, but I'm unsure of exactly how this affects me and my property. Would you be able to tell me? My information is as follows: Julie Doyle 1100 Wimbledon Way, Waunakee, WI

Paul Hermanson - 205 Klein Dr, PO Box 70, Waunakee

Name 1: DAVE DRESEN	
Name 2: PAUL HERMANSON	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 3: RON GRVEL	

Comment Date: 6/21/2005, by: DAVE DRESEN

All 3 of us will be at the first meeting and one of us may attend the other meetings. Thanx 608-850-5450 Dave

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

James & Jean Elvekrog - 401 Doral Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: JAMES ELVEKROG	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: JEAN ELVEKROG	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: JEAN ELVEKROG

We are glad you chose to build along major thoroughfares, rather than neighborhoods.

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: JAMES ELVEKROG

Thank you for the informative session on June 28. As we mentioned to several of your reps, we hope that the transmission line can follow Hwy 113 and several county roads, rather than following the r.r. tracks through downtown Waunakee.

Martin & Brenda Fay - 1007 Franconia Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: BRENDA FAY	
Name 2: MARTIN FAY	

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: MARTIN FAY

Because of environmental and residential impact, I would urge the Blue Route be used for the new line. The pink line cuts through DWA and residential areas.

David & Karen Ferris - 1229 Woodbridge Trail, Waunakee

Name 1: DAVID FERRIS	
Name 2: KAREN FERRIS	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 3: KAYLA FERRIS	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: KAREN FERRIS

Good presentations. My preference would be to not have either of these lines running through our neighborhood. If one must be there, my preference is the Hwy 113 route and to have the line buried as it passes the edge of Waunakee at Arboretum Dr., especially with the new elementary school located very close to it.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Michael & Christine Gruetzmacher - 1115 Woodbridge Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: CHRISTINE GRUETZMACHER	6/30/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: MICHAEL GRUETZMACHER	

Comment Date: 9/27/2005, by: CHRISTINE GRUETZMACHER

It would be #1 preference to have the lines put up utilizing the "blue" lines; utilizing Rt. 113 to W River Road.

Comment Date: 7/14/2005, by: CHRISTINE GRUETZMACHER

Although the 6 stations were helpful, it would have been more productive to have a general session procading the stations where ATC gave an update on the project and what the goal of the meeting was. * Please also see enclosed attachment for recommendations of location of lines. I attended the ATC discussion at Sweet Sohpies in Waunakee, WI. After speaking to the ATC folks at the 6 stations, I have the following recommendations as to location of the sub system: - My PREFERRED site for the lines would be from the town of Vienna station, down county highway I to RT113. (I have highlighted this route on the enclosed map and marked as preferred site). -My ALTERNATE site for the lines would be from the town of Vienna station, down Schumacher Road to Y-132 along the railroad tracks. (I have highlighted this route on the enclosed map and marked as alternate site). The rationale for my recommendations is that CTH I and Route 113 are both public utility corridors, far away from subdivisions. This will eliminate unsightly power lines that would run through subdivisions. These subdivisions have large groups of infants and school aged children frequently playing outside in the community parks, playgrounds, swimming pools and yards.

Everett & Judy Hamre - 400 N Division St, Waunakee

Name 1: EVERETT HAMRE	
Name 2: JUDY HAMRE	

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: JUDY HAMRE

I have no questions, I trust that you will put the line in the area that will have the least impact on the residetns in the area. Everybody needs electricity so I'm not going to make your decision more difficult.

Brian & Bonnie Hauke - 1814 Blue Ridge Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: BONNIE HAUKE	
Name 2: BRIAN HAUKE	

Comment Date: 9/20/2005, by: BRIAN HAUKE

We prefer the blue colored route over the pink one.

Raymond & Mina Hill - 1110 Bluebird Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: MINA HILL	
Name 2: RAYMOND HILL	

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: RAYMOND HILL

Will the existing power poles be used along the railroad corridor from Waunakee to River Rd.? If new poles are going to be installed how large will they be in comparison to the poles that are used today?

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Bob & Nancy Hoskins - 1710 Brookside Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: BOB HOSKINS	
Name 2: NANCY HOSKINS	

Comment Date: 10/24/2005, by: BOB HOSKINS

These lines must (should) follow a main highway NOT through a residential development along a railroad access!! My first choice is along I94-39. My second choice is along 113 & Hwy i.

Brad & Christine Hougaard - 1701 Dover Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: BRAD HOUGAARD	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 2: CHRISTINE HOUGAARD	

Comment Date: 10/24/2005, by: BRAD HOUGAARD

We prefer the route along Hwy I and 113. We don't like the fact that the other route would be near Schumacher Farm. This is a nice park the views are great. Concerned that the other route could affect Siy Mile Creek.

Michael Aidan & Kimberly Ann Hughes - 1119 Bluebird Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: KIMBERLY ANN HUGHES	
Name 2: MICHAEL AIDAN HUGHES	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: KIMBERLY ANN HUGHES

This Dec 8 meeting is only your agenda. You have your mind made up about the two routes - we do not like either route. Please come up with a different route AWAY from Savannah Village and the SCHOOL being built there. How about connect the N. Madison sub-station north of Waunakee to the Village of Dane area. Looks like a shorter line to me. See my drawing. (scanned into PDF)

Kurt & Kristin Johnson - 1507 Kentlands Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: KRISTIN JOHNSON	
Name 2: KURT JOHNSON	

Comment Date: 11/18/2005, by: KURT JOHNSON

I would prefer you put the lines underground to avoid potential health hazards and visual concerns. Secondly, if a line must be above ground the proposed route 113 is the best due to proximity to the neighborhood and children. 113 is the best above ground route as it poses the least health concerns to the public.

Comment Date: 10/25/2005, by: KURT JOHNSON

I don't believe that your company cares about the concerns of the property owners in Savannah Village. The way in which you dismissed questions and concerns at this weeks meeting reinforce my position. Not only are above ground power lines of this size unsightly, there are legitimate health concerns you have not answered. I oppose your proposal.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Daniel & Jody Ketter - 206 W Verleen Ave, Waunakee

Name 1: DANIEL KETTER	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 2: JODY KETTER	

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: DANIEL KETTER

I believe the blue route is the best route for the following reasons: 1. It impacts the fewest landowners. 2. It follows highways which adversely impact land values anyway. 3. It is furthest away from the city of Waunakee so it will not be as noticeable. 4. It will impact bird migration routes less than the red route. 5. Don't have to worry about possible power interruptions due to a train derailment. Please try to run this line on the side of the road that impacts the fewest people. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Robin & Tamara Koenig - 1217 Lawton Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: ROBIN KOENIG	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: TAMARA KOENIG	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 11/4/2005, by: ROBIN KOENIG

As a resident of Savannah Village I will be limited to two undesirable routes for the new transmission lines. Further north of my neighborhood were some initial route choices but all proposals had the transmission lines coming directly past Savannah Village either adjacent to the railroad tracks and through our arboretum or along Hwy 113 near the east side of our neighborhood and near our new elementary school and new home construction. I hardly feel I have been given a real choice in this matter as either route is very close to one another and will be a significant detriment to the residents of Savannah Village.

Comment Date: 10/3/2005, by: ROBIN KOENIG

Unfortunately I was unable to attend the Sept. 22nd open house due to being out of the county on business. I would like to reiterate my concerns. Myself and my neighbors who purchased lots in Savannah Village that lie adjacent to the Arboretum paid a substantial premium of \$50,000 - \$60,000 above the price for other comparable lots in the neighborhood to enjoy the green space and view that the Arboretum provides. 100 foot towers and wires going through this area would be a detriment to current owners and future property values. This effect would be greater felt by all residents with lots backing up to Arboretum and they would be disproportionately affected compared to residents with lots not adjoined to the Arboretum.

Comment Date: 6/27/2005, by: ROBIN KOENIG

My family and I moved to Waunakee almost two yrs. ago. We bought a parade home in Savannah Village that backs up to an Arboretum or Natural greenspace. We paid almost double the price for our lot compared to similar lots in our neighborhood that don't border on teh Arboretum. Our concern is that this Arboretum doesn't get spoiled by running high tension power lines near or through it. We picked this home & lot for view and serenity. Please don't spoil this green space for our family and neighbors.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Robert Martin and Beatrice Kolb - 415 E Verleen Ave, Waunakee

Name 1: BEATRICE KOLB	6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: ROBERT MARTIN	6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 8/3/2005, by: BEATRICE KOLB

The proposed routes as we were shown would have little or no impact on this property. We do feel, however, that the route chosen should be the most cost effective with the least impact on residential and commercial areas. Following Hwy I seems to fit that criteria.

Thomas & Julie Krapf - 600 Pleasant Valley Pkwy, Waunakee

Name 1: JULIE KRAPP	
Name 2: THOMAS KRAPP	

Comment Date: 9/20/2005, by: THOMAS KRAPP

I strongly support the blue route to the east of Waunakee. This route has the least impact on the city. I would strongly encourage you to place the line under ground when within our city limits. This would maintain a quality view shed.

Mark and Dena Lenerz - 5015 Meadow Side Lane, Waunakee

Name 1: DENA LENERZ	
Name 2: MARK LENERZ	6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 7/12/2005, by: DENA LENERZ

We are new residents to the Town of Vienna in the Nature Valley Conservancy subdivision. Our backyard is along Hickory Lane. We strongly feel that Hickory Lane would not be the best route for the high voltage line. There are now two residential subdivisions along Hickory Lane - this street is a much more populated area than the other routes being proposed - Hwy I or Schumacher Rd. Also, our development has a bike/walking path that would run right along side of these power lines. Please take these thoughts into consideration in determining the best site of this project.

Jeffrey & Wanda Lomprey - 911 Legends Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: JEFFREY LOMPNEY	6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: WANDA LOMPNEY	6/28/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: WANDA LOMPNEY

We are in favor of the blue route that follows county highway I. It avoids the most homes and subdivisions. Thank you for asking for our input. We truly appreciate that.

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: JEFFREY LOMPNEY

The obvious route for both cost and fewest homes affected would be a straight line from Huiskamp to North Madison (segment #'s: 32-26-14- (Patton Road) - 43-3-2). We live near segment 29 and with the large amount of residential growth at that segment, it would not be preferred.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Gerald & Dorothy Maly - 515 Raymond Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: DOROTHY MALY	
Name 2: GERALD MALY	

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: DOROTHY MALY

Both routes appear to be very logical. The pink route appears to be slightly shorter. Other factors (right-of-way problems, etc) could influence which route is chosen.

Comment Date: 6/13/2005, by: GERALD MALY

With an ever increasing population, there is little doubt that electrical demand will continue to increase and existing facilities must be augmented. I believe the new transmission line should run from the Patton Rd. Substation via CTH-I, STH-113 and River Rd. to the Huiskamp Substation.

Michael & Amy McCormick - 1505 Nantucket Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: AMY MCCORMICK	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: MICHAEL MCCORMICK	

Comment Date: 10/24/2005, by: AMY MCCORMICK

I categorically reject both proposals that we are presented with. I am horrified that you would consider a route through a populated area instead of along the interstate, considering the proven health dangers associated with these lines. In addition, these lines would NOT improve electric reliability in Waunakee as you so claim!!! That would require Waunakee to spend a good deal of money to upgrade to a system compatible with your lines. Your actions are truly disappointing.

Mark & Susan Miller - 913 Pebblebrook Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: MARK MILLER	
Name 2: SUSAN MILLER	

Comment Date: 8/26/2005, by: SUSAN MILLER

We feel very strongly that having new transmission lines near our home or schools would be a devastatingly poor decision for our health, the environment and property values. We are in a densely populated area that will continue to develop and very near 6 mile creek. Please make absolutely every effort to find a different geographic site than the above noted.

Sheldon Miller - 512 Hillcrest Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: SHELDON MILLER	
------------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/12/2005, by: SHELDON MILLER

I would like to see it on your blue outline of your map. To keep it away from the village of Waunakee.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Prairie Land Holding Company LLC - 5106 Reynolds Ave, Waunakee

Name 1: NANCY MISTELE	
Name 2: ORGANIZATION PRAIRIE LAND HOLDING COMPANY LLC	

Comment Date: 8/30/2005, by: NANCY MISTELE

Details about possible locations for transmission lines would be appreciated.

Munehiro & Miyuki Mitsuzuka - 1215 Dartmouth Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: MIYUKI MITSUZUKA	
Name 2: MUNEHIRO MITSUZUKA	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/6/2005, by: MUNEHIRO MITSUZUKA

Good "public" PR & explanations from various aspects.

Comment Date: 7/27/2005, by: MUNEHIRO MITSUZUKA

Where will the (underground) line be placed? In placing the line, is my property (backyard or front yard) or my neighbor affected (excavation, backfill, re-pavement)? Will the power line affect TV/radio reception due to strong magnetic field created by the electricity?

John & Kristi Mulcahey - 1509 Nantucket Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: JOHN MULCAHEY	
Name 2: KRISTI MULCAHEY	

Comment Date: 7/28/2005, by: JOHN MULCAHEY

-----Original Message----- From: John Mulcahey [mailto:mulcahey@wisc.edu] Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2005 7:19 AM
To: Justus, Sarah Subject: RE: North Madison-Waunakee project. Sarah, thanks for the opportunity to comment on the routing of your proposed transmission line. As a resident in the Savannah Village neighborhood, I am of course interested in the esthetics there. As a concerned citizen, I am in general concerned about them everywhere. With that in mind, my only question is; in areas where esthetics are more of a concern i.e. neighborhoods and green spaces, have you considered underground? I am somewhat familiar with the project that you did at the UW routing underground from the new plant on Walnut Street to Babcock drive along the railroad corridor. I suspect this would alleviate many concerns with respect to transmission lines in these types of areas. I look forward to hearing from you.
John Mulcahey Waunakee Wisconsin

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Shannon Neuens & Maura Neuens - 1220 Lawton Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: MAURA NEUENS	
Name 2: SHANNON NEUENS	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: SHANNON NEUENS

We are horrified to see that you still consider the Hwy 113 route to be your "preferred" route! How in good faith can you "prefer" to locate these poles so near the new school? Aside from the obvious aesthetic impact, let's prioritize the health of the kids! This school will serve many, many children from several neighborhoods for generations, so a location near the school should be the last option, not the "preferred" (read: cheapest) choice. At the very least, switch your "preference" to the railroad route. Better yet, do the decent thing and bury the lines. You did it for Cottage Grove; you can certainly do it here!

John & Mary Neumann - 7785 Cherry Wood Ln, Verona

Name 1: JOHN NEUMANN	
Name 2: MARY NEUMANN	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: JOHN NEUMANN

We appreciate the many opportunities provided to comment on this project. We are comfortable with both routes and agree the preferred route is the least disruptive.

Dr. and Mrs. Don Nowinski - 1513 Fairfax Mews, Waunakee

Name 1: CHAR NOWINSKI	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: DON NOWINSKI	

Comment Date: 10/25/2005, by: CHAR NOWINSKI

My two sons own homes in Savannah Village. Their combined property values are one million plus dollars. Power lines running along either of the proposed routes will decrease their property values. We have a dear friend who was about to build a condo in Savannah Village. Last week he walked away from building that condo. Choosing the lesser of two evils, I'd prefer the EAST side of Hwy 113, but first choice will always be father away from Savannah Village.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

U.S. Bank National Association (Waunakee State Bank) - U.S. Bancorp Center 800 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis

Name 1: ANNE M. OLSON	
Name 2: ORGANIZATION U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOC.	

Comment Date: 6/20/2005, by: ANNE M. OLSON

Dear Ms. Justus: I am in receipt of your June 6, 2005 letter addressed to Waunakee State Bank, whose address is 127 West Main Street, Waunakee, Wisconsin, regarding the proposed electric transmission line that may affect the bank's property. Please be advised that this property is owned and operated by U.S. Bank National Association. At this time U.S. Bank has no comments on the proposed line, but would appreciate if you could forward all future correspondence to my attention at the address above. Please contact me if you have any further questions or concerns. Sincerely, U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION Anne M. Olson Corporate Counsel

Waunakee Community School District - 101 School Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: CHUCK PURSELL	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: ORGANIZATION WAUNAKEE COMMUNITY SCHL DIST	

Comment Date: 7/5/2005, by: CHUCK PURSELL

The Waunakee Community School District is building a new elementary school right of Arboretum Drive. Please do not plan any new electrical lines along Arboretum Drive. The district would hope alternative routes would be used prior to any consideration near elementary school buildings. Please call with any questions.

Thomas & Mary Quamme - 701 Lochmoore Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: MARY QUAMME	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: THOMAS QUAMME	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: THOMAS QUAMME

We are not in favor of #29 because it goes through wetlands, businesses (i.e. golf course, restaurant). A route containing segments 26,14,9,58,49,47, and 56 seem to make the shortest route-and are not near the majority of people in the village.

Richard & Diane Quinn - 1003 Franconia Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: DIANE QUINN	
Name 2: RICHARD QUINN	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: RICHARD QUINN

1) Route 30 runs in front of the new Waunakee elementary school, a day care and large residential area and should be avoided. 2) Proposed new North Mendota Pkwy route following 113 North would be preferred as this would be mostly commercial property.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Steven & Ronna Rawlins - 1223 Lawton Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: RONNA RAWLINS	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: STEVEN RAWLINS	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;

Comment Date: 10/21/2005, by: STEVEN RAWLINS

Both of these routes greatly affect residential areas of Waunakee-particularly the Savannah Village areas and I thus strongly oppose both. I urge evaluation of the I-39 corridor instead particularly since none of the power to be transmitted can even be used by the existing facilities in Waunakee. To invade residential areas when rural routes are available is very short-sighted and sets an ominous precedent for the Madison area. Of the 2 proposed routes the 113 route affects only 1 side of the Savannah Village area and would appear to have easier access for maintenance, etc. The other route along the tracks affects Savannah Village on BOTH side of the arboretum coming very close to several homes and condominiums as well as producing a very ugly appearance along one whole side of the otherwise entirely natural arboretum. Thank you for considering my thoughts in your further deliberations.

Comment Date: 8/29/2005, by: STEVEN RAWLINS

Re: No. Madison-Waunakee New 138kV Line. We have recently purchased a home overlooking the Aboretum. The map sent indicates the possibility of a power line from pt 27-31 on the grid. First of all, I feel the natural unobsturcted beauty of the valley could be compromised (which was 90% of our buying this property) and object to a power line being run through the area - most importantly it makes more sense to locate the line on Hwy 113 which affords easy access for repairs, etc. and eliminates unnecessary cutting of trees and bushes which of couse would be most detrimental to our property and view.

Larry & Lucille Reynolds - W2374 Highway 18, Helenville

Name 1: LARRY REYNOLDS	
Name 2: LUCILLE REYNOLDS	

Comment Date: 10/24/2005, by: LUCILLE REYNOLDS

1. Where exactly are the current proposed routes? All kinds of info provided but nothing regarding location.

Karen Rizza - 5584 Surrey Lane, Waunakee

Name 1: KAREN RIZZA	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
---------------------	---

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: KAREN RIZZA

Understanding the increased need for power, we hope the project understands the need of the current residences. We purchased homes in this area due to its pristine value. Nature preserves, wildlife, quiet, no significant metal structures ie 80-110 foot poles. The 113 corridor vs Woodland offers a straighter route, less impact on wildlife, marshes, nature preserve areas. Residential values are less likely to be impacted, since they are not yet built. This makes the most sense for our communities needs and for the power companies needs.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Mark & Kathryn Roelke - 300 Edgemere Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: KATHRYN ROELKE	
Name 2: MARK ROELKE	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: MARK ROELKE

Branch 29 if required should most likely run on the West side of Hwy 113 away from the housing development and adjacent to the golf course. I would prefer that a north south route be taken East of the village.

Tyson & Nicole Roessler - 4035 Monona Dr, Monona

Name 1: NICOLE ROESSLER	
Name 2: TYSON ROESSLER	

Comment Date: 8/1/2005, by: NICOLE ROESSLER

My husband and I are building our dream house on Meadowside Ln. Our backyard will be backing up to Hickory Trail. We would hate to have our dream house, on a great lot be crushed by having to look at the horrible site of huge metal power poles. We are not spending our life savings on building a great house to have the value decrease by the power poles. There are alot of better routes to run the line with alot less population. Please think of the 70+ families that will be moving into the new Nature Valley Subdivision. Thank you for your concern.

John & Barbara Roethke - 403 Muirfield Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: BARBARA ROETHKE	
Name 2: JOHN ROETHKE	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 8/1/2005, by: JOHN ROETHKE

Of course no one wants a transmission line in their backyard and I would not be an exception to that rule. Good Luck.

John & Joan Ruppenthal - 1703 Manchester Crossing, Waunakee

Name 1: JOAN RUPPENTHAL	
Name 2: JOHN RUPPENTHAL	

Comment Date: 10/28/2005, by: JOAN RUPPENTHAL

I really question why this has to go thru a community - "to save money for ATC" - "the most economical approach for ATC". ATC is still going to profit from what ever route is chosen and we will STILL have to face increased prices in our energy rates. I actually do not approve of either of the proposed projects.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Alan & Janet Rutkowski - 306 Edgemere Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: ALAN RUTKOWSKI	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: JANET RUTKOWSKI	

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: ALAN RUTKOWSKI

The most logical path would seem to be the nearly straight routes on the East side. Shortest distance and in more sparsely populated areas.

Brock & Teresa Ryan - 1227 Bongard Dr., Waunakee

Name 1: BROCK RYAN	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: TERESA RYAN	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 12/5/2005, by: BROCK RYAN

I don't support either way. But if I have to choose why don't we bury the line as they go past Savannah Village?

Comment Date: 10/25/2005, by: TERESA RYAN

1. Prefer lines not to go through or near Savannah Village. Oppose railroad and Hwy 113. 2. Out of the two options, I prefer Hwy 113 is chosen, but place lines on East side of road. 3. If route Hwy 113 is chosen - please consider putting lines underground. Overall, I am extremely disappointed with this project. I am concerned about the potential health risks, especially in young children, my property value and the possibility of seeing lines in my backyard. I have a hard time believing that these two routes are the best possible routes that ATC can come up with. The placement of these routes should not just be in the best interest of your company, but also consider the best interests of the consumer!

James & Carol Sarnosky - 311 W Verleen Ave, Waunakee

Name 1: CAROL SARNOSKY	
Name 2: JAMES SARNOSKY	

Comment Date: 6/16/2005, by: CAROL SARNOSKY

My home is a Home Day Care and also the opening to our subdivision. I believe for safety reasons as well as the look of the entrance to the subdivision this location should be not an option and we oppose it being at our location.

Richard & Sarah Schiro - 305 W Verleen Ave, Waunakee

Name 1: RICHARD SCHIRO	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: SARAH SCHIRO	

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: RICHARD SCHIRO

Your team was very courteous and professional. They were willing to answer all questions and provide info. It would seem to me that the best route would be to go around Waunakee with the transmission instead of right through the heart of the village. This would affect the least amount of people and limit environmental impacts. Thank you, Richard

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

James & Linda Schmitz - 5720 Steeplechase Dr., Waunakee

Name 1: JAMES SCHMITZ	9/22/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: LINDA SCHMITZ	

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: JAMES SCHMITZ

Please use the blue route. Thanks.

Comment Date: 9/19/2005, by: LINDA SCHMITZ

From: Linda Marty Schmitz [mailto:marsch@chorus.net] Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2005 9:19 AM To: Justus, Sarah Subject: Waunakee WI Transmission System Update Sarah: My husband is interested in seeing a detailed map of the two proposed transmission routes for Waunakee. Could you e-mail them to us as attachments? Thank you! Linda Marty Schmitz Waunakee, WI 608-850-5400 From: Linda Marty Schmitz [mailto:marsch@chorus.net] Sent: Monday, September 19, 2005 10:31 AM To: Justus, Sarah Subject: Re: Waunakee WI Transmission System Update Sarah: Thanks for sending but when I go to open it, it says the file is damaged and cannot be repaired. Linda From: Linda Marty Schmitz [mailto:marsch@chorus.net] Sent: Monday, September 19, 2005 11:15 AM To: Justus, Sarah Subject: Re: Waunakee WI Transmission System Update Sarah: I got it -- thanks!! Linda

Scott & Nicole Andersen Rev Trust - 1121 Woodbridge Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: TRUST SCOTT & NICOLE ANDERSEN REV TRUST	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
---	--

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: TRUST SCOTT & NICOLE ANDERSEN REV TRUST

I would like to recommend that the new transmission line follow the route designated by the pink line following Y-132 and north on Schumacher Rd due to the fact that current developemnt calls for homes along 113 (Hwy) and future development north of Arboretum Drive will also likely ential residential development and these power lines would be ideally palced away from residential areas.

Kurt & Jodi Semandel - 1315 Lawton Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: JODI SEMANDEL	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: KURT SEMANDEL	

Comment Date: 12/5/2005, by: JODI SEMANDEL

I do not support either route, I think the routes proposed are the ones that make ATC the most \$. You have misled people with outdated maps that do not show 100's of homes and an elementary school. Today is the first tie I have seen my (our) streets listed. The effort you have made to "inform" us tells me you know very well that this will be a problem for lots of people. Your bottom line is coming at too high of a cost for too many people.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Jeffrey & Kathleen Smith - 303 Worthington Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: JEFFREY SMITH	
Name 2: KATHLEEN SMITH	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: JEFFREY SMITH

We would prefer a route that would bypass Waunakee. We definately don't think it would be best to go down Schumann and through industrial park. Even route down "I" to 113 is affecting Waunakee, but if would be best of the (2) options listed.

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: JEFFREY SMITH

I realize the need for improved transmission, however, I would like to would strongly prefer the route further out of Waunakee - Cty Hwy I & 113. Waunakee is growing and while new lines are needed keeping them a little further out of town - down a main traffic corridor would help.

Nathan & Jennifer Spangler - 1235 Dartmouth Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: JENNIFER SPANGLER	
Name 2: NATHAN SPANGLER	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 11/3/2005, by: NATHAN SPANGLER

-----Original Message----- From: njsp@chorus.net [mailto:njsp@chorus.net] Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2005 4:37 PM To: Justus, Sarah Cc: Robin Stearns Subject: Re: RE: More Questions concerning the High Tension Power lines. Sarah, Thanks for responding. Isn't the proposed Hyw 113 route along a section of the proposed North Mendota Boulevard / Beltline? Is there room for towers and a significantly widened roadway if both proposals become reality? Nathan

Comment Date: 10/27/2005, by: NATHAN SPANGLER

From: The Spanglers [mailto:njsp@chorus.net] Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2005 9:56 PM To: Justus, Sarah Cc: Robin Stearns Subject: Re: More Questions concerning the High Tension Power lines. Sarah, Regarding your answer to questions 5 & 6, please clarify how the Femrite-Sprecher project met your criteria for underground lines. According to ATC testimony before the PCS: ATC CPCN Application, PSCW Docket No. 137-CE-120 Direct Testimony of Stan Tessmer Page 7 Q. Why is ATC proposing installing the transmission line underground for segments 24, 14 and 6 of the Preferred route and for segments 5 and 6 for the Alternative route? A. The City of Madison strongly recommended using underground option for segments 14, 24, 5 and 6. In segment 14, overhead line would change the landscape significantly. Many trees in that area would need to be removed to construct an overhead line. In segment 5, the developer of condominiums along the route had paid the cost of undergrounding the utilities in that area. The suburban Sprecher / Buckeye segments offer a pre-existing 69kV corridor along roadways and open space - a perfect route for overhead lines. What "certain and rare circumstances" led the ATC to propose burying the 138kV line along Sprecher / Buckeye? Psc Report Supports New Power Line Wisconsin State Journal :: BUSINESS :: C10 Wednesday, May 25, 2005 Judy Newman Some trees will be sacrificed, but otherwise a new transmission line planned for Madison's East Side would not harm the environment, an environmental assessment from state Public Service Commission staff says. The \$22 million, 138-kilovolt line will bring more electricity to the East and Southeast sides. Two possible routes between the Femrite Drive and Sprecher Road substations have been identified, both primarily along existing roads. Part of the project will be built underground so the lines and poles don't disturb neighbors' views of the Madison skyline, raising the cost by about \$3 million. Even so, some trees will have to be cut down, including cottonwood, box elder, oak and shagbark hickory. The project may also affect an area that includes the giant yellow hyssop, identified as a threatened plant species in Wisconsin. A survey could be taken this summer to find ways to avoid damaging the plant, the staff report says. Regarding your answer to question #15 - we (Savannah) were here first! You cite neighborhoods that chose to build next to pre-existing 138kV (and larger) lines. We chose to build and live in an area undisturbed by overhead 138kV lines. Why is the ATC brushing aside our desire not to see 138kV lines / towers along our neighborhood just after the ATC appeared to have acted fairly and in the best interest of all parties involved in the Femrite-Sprecher project? Regards, Nathan Spangler 1235 Dartmouth Dr.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Michael & Jill Statz - 1504 Wexford Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: JILL STATZ	
Name 2: MICHAEL STATZ	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 7/1/2005, by: MICHAEL STATZ

I am in agreement with the need for the upgrade and agree with proposed location along railroad corridor north of our property.

Howard & Robin Stearns - 1101 Bluebird Trl, Waunakee

Name 1: CATLIN STEARNS	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: HOWARD STEARNS	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 3: ROBIN STEARNS	10/20/2005 5:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall; 9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
Name 4: THOMAS STEARNS	12/6/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 11/7/2005, by: ROBIN STEARNS

-----Original Message----- From: Robin Stearns [mailto:Robin.Stearns@charter.net] Sent: Sunday, November 06, 2005 6:57 PM To: Justus, Sarah Cc: brenda.phebus@tdstelecom.com; Carlson Family; jdebeck1@charter.net; Basler, Carianne; Howard Stearns; John Mulcahey; Adler, Christa L; ginabryan@charter.net; aperkins@zimbrick.com; Andrea; Ginny Gilbert; Carlson Family; guard@charter.net; gxl@medicine.wisc.edu; chris jones; Carlson Family; brookspaith@aol.com; jennblack@alumni.ucsd.edu; brenda.phebus@tdstelecom.com; cheri@mortgage2000.com; afluke@charter.net; fluke01@charter.net; Erin Crowley; derickson@royle.com; garynjudithh@aol.com; Jo Cahill; dccken@charter.net; djrapps@msn.com; hoskie@yahoo.com; hschubert@tds.net; 1happyfamily@charter.net; jkolb@chorus.net; asgross@iwon.com; grossts@iwon.com; george-geoborg1@yahoo.com; amie_bb22@yahoo.com; christmasbabe52@msn.com; doyled2@tds.net; helmhaus@charter.net; binaufamily@tds.net; janrouse@ingal.com; clauten@oriones.com; acvinson@hotmail.com; dphebus@lawtonCates.com; gherzberg@vil.waunakee.wi.us; jlaubmeier@vil.waunakee.wi.us; arabin@vil.waunakee.wi.us; brad.damon@phmic.com; brbjlb@charter.net; hjacker1@tds.net; brockryan900@hotmail.com; glw1210@tds.net; chris_lascala@hotmail.com; Erin Crowley; dlisowski@charter.net; cjarvi@charter.net; dnordloh@hotmail.com; dthomack@newnorth.net; jpliao@gmail.com; carlsteven@hotmail.com; brendafay@tds.net; jtemp@tds.net; christine.gmetzmacher@sandipasteur.com; djtta@tds.net; dlquinn2000@charter.net; okiebadger@aol.com; steve.dunai@wimadi.ang.af.mil; Renken Kraig R; carlos.gonzalez@galaxy-inc.com; Kevin Even; pictperfect@earthlink.net Subject: Re: More Questions concerning the High Tension Power lines. Hi Sarah, I apologize for taking so long to get back to you regarding your responses to our last set of questions. I must say, your answer to my very first question has thrown me for a loop. I'm afraid that I will be able to address only that one question this week, although other members of our group have clearly been following through on other issues. (MY Question) In your email of 9/26/2005, you state that ATC has established responsible policies for assessing health risks that reflect the state of the science. What are those policies? Exactly. Please provide us copies of those policies, and explain to us how they reflect the state of the science. (Your Answer) We comply with the EMF policies and regulations established by the Public Service Commission. The following link to the PSC's website provides these guidelines. However, it is my understanding that this particular section of their site is being worked on and the link is inactive. Instead, you'll be linked to information about the individual at the PSC who can speak to the guidelines. (<http://psc.wi.gov/utilityinfo/electric/construction/powerPlantRequirements.htm>) 1. Are you saying that ATC does not have written policies governing the manner in which its employees will strive to fulfil the guidelines posed by the PSC? 2. Is it possible that a company that serves the "public good" could be without written policies? 3. Who regulates ATC? 4. What is the FERC's role in regulating ATC? 5. Is ATC licensed by any official body? 6. What qualifications does ATC have to perform such massive construction projects? 7. I understand that ATC is the first for-profit transmission company. What other for-profit transmission companies have sprouted since? 8. ATC began operations in 2001. How many transmission lines has it installed so far, not counting those that had been initially developed by other institutions? 9. As the first for-profit power transmission company, it seems that ATC is conducting an experiment on the citizens of Wisconsin? Who is monitoring the effects of this experiment? Who

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

determined the efficacy of this experiment? 10. ATC is allowed to use state right-of-ways to build its transmission lines, but does it pay the state for this use? 11. Can anybody submit a plan to b

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: ROBIN STEARNS

-----Original Message----- From: TKritz@weatrtrust.com [mailto:TKritz@weatrtrust.com] Sent: Monday, September 26, 2005 12:25 PM To: Justus, Sarah Cc: Lauten, Anna; brenda.phebus@tdstelecom.com; CBasler@AlixPartners.com; clauten@orion.com; Howard Stearns; jdebeck1@charter.net; mescarlson@charter.net; mulcahey@wisc.edu; Rachel Bolger; ssteck@charter.net; teresaryan19@hotmail.com; TSwalve@kforce.com; Robin Stearns; gtlkritz@yahoo.com; tkritz@weatrtrust.com Subject: Power Lines in -- Request for information. Sarah, would you please reply to all of the people carbon copied on this e-mail when you have a response to John Mulcahey's e-mail below. The list of concerned homeowners will likely be longer by the time you reply. We'll make sure your response gets forwarded to them as well. Thanks. Robin Stearns 09/26/2005 10:59 AM Subject: [Fwd: Power lines] Hi Everyone, John Mulcahey sent me the following comments about actions he has already taken, and I thought everyone would be interested: Robin -- Robin Stearns, Executive Director Little City Kids, LLC and Franchise Services 10127 Northwestern Avenue Franksville, WI 53126 262.884.4226 Visit us at www.littlecitykids.com and learn all about our school, our toys, and our visions for great early-childhood education. Visit our Little City Kids Toys Ebay store at <http://stores.ebay.com/store=31346560> for great educational toys and Montessori Learning Materials. ----- Message from John Mulcahey on Mon, 26 Sep 2005 10:50:53 -0500 ----- To: robin.stearns@charter.net, "Justus, Sarah" Subject: Power lines. Robin, I have been following this and have sent a few notes in as I have not been able to make the meetings. My comments have always been, why not run the lines underground? They do that in our neighborhood, and they did it on Arboretum Drive. I also know that they are able to do it because they did it on a project I was involved in on the UW campus. I would also ask them to show us a rendering of what these lines will look like and press them on the issue of risk to individuals. I am sending this to Sarah Justus from ATC. Sarah, can you comment back about the risk to individuals, a rendering of what this will look like, and again consider routing the lines underground? John Mulcahey 1509 Nantucket Court I am writing because I am very concerned about the proposed high tension lines that ATC plans to run past Savannah Village. They have two proposals, but both of them severely affect our neighborhood. The 100 foot tall poles and high tension lines will either run down 113 or along the railroad tracks. So either the old part of the village or the new part of the village will be affected. I am concerned mostly about the health risks involved, but also about how ugly these monsters will be, and at 100 feet tall, they will be very visible. I went to ATC's open house at Sweet Sophie's last night, and they did more to encourage my fears than to assuage them in any way. In fact, they acknowledged that the health risks exist, but said nothing about how they were going to protect us from these risks. Basically, what they wanted to know was whether more people wanted the lines to affect the old part of SV, or the new. Since I currently live on the old side, but am planning to build on the new, I did not have a good answer for them. I think both of these pathways should be nixed. I don't know what can be done about it yet, but I am wondering if other people in SV are as upset about these lines as I am. If anyone else is concerned, I'd like to hear about it. There may well be something that we can do to stop this. I can be reached at 850-4482 or robin.stearns@charter.net. Thanks Andrea. Robin Stearn

Comment Date: 9/22/2005, by: ROBIN STEARNS

Refused to write formal comments.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Stephen & Sarah Summers - 1404 Amana Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: SARAH SUMMERS	
Name 2: STEPHEN SUMMERS	

Comment Date: 6/16/2005, by: STEPHEN SUMMERS

I hope that Aboretum Drive is not being considered as a possible route. I could not tell from the map. Arboretum Drive will soon have a new elementary school right along the road, a park with tennis courts and a sport court is right along the road, as well as numerous homes. A more logical approach would either follow 113 North or go North by the railroad tracks and then go North through the industrial park. Please remove Arboretum Drive from your consideration.

Marthinus Taute & Margaretha Taute - 303 Simon Crestway, Waunakee

Name 1: MARGARETHA TAUTE	
Name 2: MARTHINUS TAUTE	

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: MARTHINUS TAUTE

Sorry but we have previous arrangements. Thank you for keeping us informed. Enverything looks and sounds good. Thank you.

Timothy and Sandy Taylor & Eric Myhre - 121 W Main St, Waunakee

Name 1: ERIC MYHRE	
Name 2: SANDY TAYLOR	6/30/2005 1:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 3: TIMOTHY TAYLOR	

Comment Date: 6/30/2005, by: SANDY TAYLOR

I feel that the most economical & sensible route is I to 113. 2nd route - Schumacher to Easy Street and out Hwy 19 to substation.

Patricia Thorpe - 425 Trail Side Dr, De Forest

Name 1: PATRICIA THORPE	
-------------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/28/2005, by: PATRICIA THORPE

My concern is, in any way would the installation of this 138-kilovolt-transmission line affect my renter's health or the resale value of my income property located at 1000-1002 South Division Street and 1901-1903 Winchester Way in Waunakee? Also is there any other way that this transmission line could be placed in a different way or area? I am sorry that I could not attend this meeting. However these two questiosn would have been the questions I would have asked.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

David & Andrea Turner - 1215 Lawton Ln, Waunakee

Name 1: ANDREA TURNER	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: DAVID TURNER	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 10/25/2005, by: DAVID TURNER

I am against this proposal and will do everything in my power to stop these proposed routes from coming in contact with our neighborhood and town!

Comment Date: 7/27/2005, by: DAVID TURNER

I am opposed to any structure that would invade the natural beauty of the Savannah Village neighborhood. If I am understanding this proposal, this will be those outrageously large blue power lines that can be seen for miles. I will not support this.

Miles & Cynthia Turner - 1700 Dover Dr, Waunakee

Name 1: CYNTHIA TURNER	
Name 2: MILES TURNER	

Comment Date: 11/28/2005, by: CYNTHIA TURNER

We strongly support the preferred route (blue) for the North Madison to Huiskamp connection. The route along Hwy 113 is best.

Janet Uebersetzgig - 204 W 2nd St, Waunakee

Name 1: JANET UEBERSETZIG	
---------------------------	--

Comment Date: 7/25/2005, by: JANET UEBERSETZIG

I no longer live on N.Madison St. I have resided in Waunakee for 10 years. I do not have any interest in decisions regarding N. Madison St.

Mark Viksjo - 207 Short St, Waunakee

Name 1: MARK VIKSJO	
---------------------	--

Comment Date: 10/28/2005, by: MARK VIKSJO

Locating a transmission line in even remote proximity to a school, or proposed school area is extremely ill-advised due to the obvious potential danger to children who may or may not understand the hazards of fallen lines, transmission boxes, grounding wires, utility poles, etc. Failure to take cognizance of this is socially irresponsible at best and criminally negligent at worst. Please incorporate this consideration into your thinking.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Westport Town

John Beck & Janice Robertson - 5621 Easy St, Waunakee

Name 1: JANICE ROBERTSON	
Name 2: JOHN BECK	

Comment Date: 9/16/2005, by: JOHN BECK

We both prefer the blue route for the transmission line.

Carol Dahmen - 2692 NE Hwy 70, #708, Arcadia

Name 1: CAROL DAHMEN	
----------------------	--

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: CAROL DAHMEN

I SUPPORT the route segment as shown in PINK for the following reasons: It follows a rail right-of-way and goes through the industrial park. Thus impacting fewer properties. Thank you for considering my opinion.

George & Karen Evans - 5903 Easy St, Waunakee

Name 1: GEORGE EVANS	
Name 2: KAREN EVANS	

Comment Date: 6/23/2005, by: GEORGE EVANS

Can the existing 69kV which feeds from the existing 138kV to Dane be expanded? Is the existing 138kV from N. Madison to N. of Dane the size structure proposed to be constructed? I do not see a "previously studied route" going the most direct route from N. Mad. Substation S on "I" S on "113" to the "Huiskamp" Substation - why is that? Would this transmission line have any chance of being constructed or added to existing corridor at or near my house at 5903 Easy Street, Waunakee? G. Evans

Edward & Jane Kaltenberg - 5607 Easy St, Waunakee

Name 1: EDWARD KALTENBERG	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: JANE KALTENBERG	

Comment Date: 9/26/2005, by: EDWARD KALTENBERG

I prefer the transmission go on Highway I.

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: EDWARD KALTENBERG

Easy St. is overhung with trees on both side of the road for a good stretch through my neighborhood it would be a shame to cut them down to put a power line through. The map provided shows much straighter routes then to go by our house.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Steven & Andrea Kaltenberg - 5579 Easy St, Waunakee

Name 1: ANDREA KALTENBERG	
Name 2: STEVEN KALTENBERG	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ; 6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 7/7/2005, by: STEVEN KALTENBERG

The map looks like it would make more sense to stay on county and stat hwys, then to cut across on a narrow road with trees along it like Easy Street past our house. Thank you.

Janet Mc Kellar - 5670 Highway 19 , Waunakee

Name 1: JANET MC KELLAR	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
-------------------------	---

Comment Date: 7/25/2005, by: JANET MC KELLAR

The most feasible route in my opinion would be from North Madison & Patton Rd, to CTH I, straight down CTH I to Hwy 113 & on to Huiskamp. I have concerns about placement of a power utility line on my property-there are gas lines along the front of my property, a septic system, & I would not want to have the snow plow or my grass cutters have a dangerous obstacle to contend with. Apart from safety issues, if placed in front of my home, it would spoil the view and devalue my property.

Curtis & Liz Meffert - 907 S Briarcliff Cir, Maryville

Name 1: CURTIS MEFFERT	
Name 2: LIZ MEFFERT	12/6/2005 2:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 11/21/2005, by: CURTIS MEFFERT

Meffert, Curt G. (Fluor Global Services) From: Meffert, Curt G. Sent: Monday, November 07, 2005 6:28 PM To: 'Sarah Justice (sjustice@atcllc.com)' Cc: Meffert, Curt G. Subject: Routing of 138 Kilovolt Transmission Line - ATC
Contacts: Sarah Justice Dear Sarah Justice, I appreciate your efforts to keep those effected by the routing of the proposed line informed. I and my family have a definite interest in the routing because my brothers and sister have land on both sides of Highway 113. It's obvious that we would prefer the line follow the western route, since a considerable portion of our producing land has already been given up to enable the widening of 113 and for the intersection with Highway 19. Please provide the following information so we can better understand the scope of the project, and how it may affect our property. 1) What is the true length of each route? 2) How many miles of each route will be through cropped fields? 3) What is the distance that the western route will follow the rail line? 4) How much righ-of-way and useable poles exist along the railway? 5) What types of steel poles will be used? Single leg? multiple legs? 6) Will the planned line for 2019 be attahed to these same poles, or will it consist of a parallel line and new poles? 7) Will the easement corridor where poles are placed be available for cropping (farm between the poles), or must it be available for service vehicles? 8) What will be the average distance from the edge of the highway property tot he ceter of the easement corridor? Thanks in advance. Your promptness will be appreciated. You can contact me on this e-mail address or ssend the information to our home at: Curtis Meffert 907 South Briarcliff Circle Maryville, TN 37803. Curtis Meffert Alcoa-Fluor alliance - Engineering Alcoa Tennessee Operations c/o Alcoa South Plant 300 North Hall Road Alcoa, TN 37701-2516 M/S S29 Phone: 865-977-2758 Fax: 865-977-3555 Act Net: 246-2758 E-mail: curt.meffert@alcoa.com

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Susan Porter - 3907 Hoepker Rd, Madison

Name 1: SUSAN PORTER	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ;
----------------------	--

Comment Date: 10/3/2005, by: SUSAN PORTER

I am strongly in opposition to the new power lines route coming down Highway 113. I was born in 1947, and raised on the farm which my 92 year old mother still resides. She has approximately 60 acres on either side of Highway 113, between River Road and Bong Road. I also own an apartment building on Kennedy Road, off 113, and a Pet Cemetery also on Kennedy Road. Although it bothers me to see things closing in on us, I feel helpless to stop it. This is a beautiful area which already has 3 towers that we can see from our farm. I was at a meeting some time ago when the North Beltline was being discussed. A speaker was saying that they would like to see a corridor from Highway M going north to Waunakee, be a "buffer area" to Waunakee. Something beautiful for entering Waunakee. I hardly think having these massive power lines is what was imagined. These power lines, if they have to be built at all, would be much more suited going through the Industrial Park in Waunakee and then following the railroad tracks, where lines already exist. Finally, the urban sprawl in Dane County has gotten out of control. Dane County has some of the best farm land IN THE WORLD! I think it is a crime to see it being eaten up by these huge homes on huge lots, which I am sure the majority of owners will never REALLY own. Sincerely, Sue Porter. cc: Kathleen Falk, Dane County Board, Public Service Commission

Carl F Statz & Sons Inc - 6101 Hogan Rd, Waunakee

Name 1: KEN STATZ	9/22/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall ; 6/30/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: ORGANIZATION CARL F STATZ & SONS INC	

Comment Date: 7/5/2005, by: KEN STATZ

Hwy 113 North to Co. Hwy I North to Co. Hwy V West to Patton Rd. this route seems to me to be about the only logical route to use.

Westport Development LLC - 5440 Blue Bill Park Dr, Madison

Name 1: ORGANIZATION WESTPORT DEVELOPMENT LLC	
Name 2: SCOTT STATZ	

Comment Date: 8/1/2005, by: SCOTT STATZ

I was unable to attend either of your open house informational meetings re: the North Madison-Waunakee transmission system upgrade. I am a property owner just south of the Huiskamp station at 5440 Blue Bill Pk. Dr. My property is 15 acres and is adjacent to the C&NW Railway from Farmco Dr. to the Public Green Way. The property was previously known as the "Co-op". I would like to know more about how this will affect my property. I have development plans for the property and feel that we should cooperate now for each of our future plans. I am looking forward to hearing from you.

Comment Report - Unaffected Land Owners

Richard & Kathleen Trotta - 5672 Sawmill Ct, Waunakee

Name 1: KATHLEEN TROTTA	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;
Name 2: RICHARD TROTTA	6/28/2005 6:00:00 PM - Sweet Sophie's Hall;

Comment Date: 6/28/2005, by: RICHARD TROTTA

Inconclusive data on health affects and engineers with good intentions but final product doing something other than expected, ie, no noise-there is noise; no health problems-catastrophic health problems. Run lines away from residential properties.
